Nanotube bundles and tube-tube orientation: A van der Waals density functional study
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We study the binding energy, intertube distance, and electronic structure of bundles consisting of single-walled carbon nanotubes of the same chirality. We model various nanotube structures (chiralities) and orientations with van der Waals density functional theory. The orientation of the tubes in the bundle strongly influences the properties of the bundles if the chirality of the tubes shares symmetry with the trigonal bundle structure, meaning chiralities that have a 60° rotational symmetry ($C_6$ axis), e.g., (12,0) bundles. The bundle structure breaks the symmetry depending on the arrangement of the neighboring tubes. Pseudogaps open in the electronic density of states and intertube distances (±5%–10%) vary in dependence of the relative orientation of the tubes in the bundle. Bundles of $C_6$-axis armchair tubes have metallic configurations. A 15° rotation off the high-symmetry configuration (AA stacked) of a (6,6) bundle shows metallic behavior and has higher binding energy than the high-symmetry configuration. We find binding energies between 19 and 35 meV/atom, depending on the chirality of the tubes. The intertube distances are between 3.2 and 3.4 Å, but independent of orientation for non-$C_6$-axis tubes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon is one of today's most exciting materials with properties originating from the structure and symmetry of its allotropes. The properties of carbon nanotubes depend on their one dimensionality and the exact arrangement of the carbon atoms on their surface, called chirality. Nanotubes are often found in bundles, ropes, or fibers. These three-dimensional structures form through the van der Waals interactions between the tube surfaces, which also influence the properties of the bundles. The orientation of tubes in a bundle can be compared to the stacking of graphene layers (e.g., bernal-AB stacked). For nanotube bundles, however, we have to additionally consider the chirality of the tubes. The structural influence on the bundle properties is especially interesting for bundles of tubes that consist of only one chirality (monochiral bundles), as these have uniform properties needed, e.g., for electronic devices.

The mechanical and electronic properties of bundles were already studied theoretically and experimentally. However, there is little knowledge about the influence of the structure (chirality) of the tubes on, e.g., the electronic structure of the bundle. Monochiral bundles have not been experimentally produced yet; even so, their production is expected in the near future.

Nanotube bundles and their electronic structure were studied with density functional theory within the local density approximation (LDA), which allows to consider the structure of the tubes in the bundle. The local density approximation, however, fails in modeling the van der Waals interaction between tubes. Another approach is to use continuum approximations involving the Lennard-Jones potential. The continuum approximation, however, does not account for the specific configuration of the carbon atoms (structure). Other approaches that consider the structure as well as the van der Waals interaction do not reach the accuracy of density functional theory calculations. The van der Waals functional developed by Dion et al. was shown to be able to model bundles of carbon nanotubes in density functional theory. At this level of theory, studies considering the influence of nanotube chirality in bundles remain missing.

In this paper, we study the properties of bundles consisting of carbon nanotubes of the same chirality and handedness by a van der Waals density functional. We calculated the binding energy, intertube distance, and electronic structure in dependence of the orientation of the tubes in the bundle. We find a particularly strong dependence on the orientation of the tubes in the bundle if the tubes are achiral and the chirality of the tubes and the bundle share the trigonal symmetry, meaning that the tubes have a $C_6$ axis. Achiral tubes with $C_6$ axis are metallic, e.g., (6,6) and (12,0) tubes. Pseudogaps open at the Fermi level in dependence of the orientation of the tubes in the bundle. We find metallic behavior for the AA-stacked configuration (0°) for $C_6$-axis armchair bundles, as well as for intermediate configurations that have glide reflection planes. The bundles of $C_6$-axis chiralities experience rotation barriers for the tubes that are induced by the configuration of the atoms on the tube surfaces. The barriers can be as high as $\Delta E = 10.5$ meV/atom. Rotation barriers for tubes without the trigonal symmetry of the bundle are less than $\Delta E = 0.3$ meV/atom. The properties of bundles made from non-$C_6$-axis tubes have a much weaker dependence on the orientation of the tubes inside of the bundle, e.g., (8,2) and (14,0) tubes.

This paper is organized as follows. We first describe the computational methods used for our study in Sec. II. We then discuss the van der Waals energies and intertube distances of bundles in orientational dependence in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we discuss the electronic band structure at the Fermi level for a (6,6) bundle as a function of tube orientation. Section V summarizes this work and discusses the conclusions in a general context.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

We used the ab initio package SIESTA to perform our density functional theory calculations. To optimize the geometry of the isolated tubes, we used the generalized gradient
approximation parametrized by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof, which is good in modeling the interactions within the tube.\textsuperscript{33} We calculated the bundle properties (binding strength, inter-tube distance, and bundle electronic structure) with the van der Waals density functional parametrized by Dion \textit{et al.}, which is especially good in modeling the van der Waals interaction between the tubes in the bundle.\textsuperscript{34} Both calculations used norm-conserving nonlocal pseudopotentials.\textsuperscript{34} We balanced the computational time and accuracy by choosing localized pseudoatomic orbitals with a double-$\zeta$ (DZ) basis set to describe the valence electrons. We chose cutoff radii for the $s$ and $p$ orbitals of the carbon atoms with $r_s = 5.949$ Bohr and $r_p = 7.450$ Bohr. The mesh cutoff for the real-space integration corresponded to about 350 Ry. We used between 10 and 14 $k$ points in the Monkhorst-Pack scheme\textsuperscript{35} to calculate the total energies in the $k_z$ direction. The $z$ axis was chosen as the tube axis. We performed all total energy calculations with only one $k$ point in the $x$ and $y$ directions. The $k$-point sampling for the band-structure calculations of the bundled tubes was 20 $k$ points in the $x$ and $y$ directions, leading to a $k$-point sampling of $20 \times 20 \times 300$ $k$ points for (n,0) zigzag tubes, $20 \times 20 \times 300$ for (n,n) armchair tubes, and $20 \times 20 \times 200$ for the chiral (8,2) tube. In this paper, we will only consider the band structure of the monochiral (6,6) armchair tube bundle in detail.

To obtain decent bundle structures, we first optimized the lattice constant of isolated tubes by minimization of the total energy in dependence of the lattice constant. We then performed a geometry optimization for isolated carbon nanotubes within the generalized gradient approximation to derive an initial tube geometry for our bundled tube calculations. We used the conjugate gradient method to optimize the atomic coordinates to a maximal force tolerance of 0.04 eV/Å, which is adequate for our accuracy. The optimized isolated tube coordinates were then used to perform the van der Waals density functional calculations.

For the van der Waals density functional calculations, we placed a tube in a hexagonal unit cell with periodic boundaries; see Fig. 1 for the example of a bundle of (6,6) tubes. We calculate a bundle by an infinite number of tubes (bulk bundle), which serves as a model of an inner tube $I$ in a real carbon-nanotube bundle.

The total energy of the bulk bundle was minimized in dependence of the intertube distance to obtain the optimal distance between the tubes of the bundle as presented in Fig. 2. A Lennard-Jones potential is plotted with $\epsilon$ and $r_{\text{min}}$ parameters fitted to our data. The Lennard-Jones potential shows a smaller width in the attractive region compared to the calculated data points. We did not perform a structure relaxation with the van der Waals density functional, as this leads to energetically lowest states, which do not allow us to consider energetically unstable orientations of the tubes of the bundle. Therefore, stress remains in the bundle systems depending on the chirality and to a smaller extent on the orientation of the tubes. The maximal forces in the systems are $F_{\text{max}} \approx 0.3$ eV/Å for armchair bundles, $F_{\text{max}} \approx 1$ eV/Å for zigzag bundles, and $F_{\text{max}} \approx 3$ eV/Å for the (8,2) and (12,6) bundles. Previous studies of tube-tube interaction found the distortion caused by the bundling to have little or no effect on the properties for tubes with diameters below 15 Å.\textsuperscript{9,36}

The total van der Waals energy is defined as the difference between minimal total energy of the bundle and total energy of the isolated tube (both calculated with the functional of Dion \textit{et al.} \textsuperscript{28}), which corresponds to infinite intertube distance. Dividing through the number of atoms of the unit cell yields the van der Waals energy per atom. We derive the intertube distance from the optimized $x\ y$ unit-cell length by subtraction of the diameter of the tube. The band structure was plotted for certain high-symmetry directions. We used the whole
k-sampled zone to derive the density of states at an electronic temperature of 20 K.

III. VAN DER WAALS ENERGIES AND INTERTUBE DISTANCES

We start this section with a discussion of the symmetry properties of nanotube bundles. This discussion is followed by a study of the influence of the orientation of tubes in the bundle on the van der Waals energies between the tubes of the bundle, as well as the energetically optimized intertubes distances. At the end of the section, we draw a conclusion from our results for the properties of mixed chirality bundles and monochiral bundles.

 Bundles were experimentally observed in a triangular lattice that contained up to hundreds of tubes.\(^7\)\(^3\)\(^7\) In our calculations, we model the triangular lattice of the bundle by a hexagonal unit cell (compare Fig. 1). A symmetry breaking occurs if the individual tube and the bundle do not share all symmetry operations. The bundle structure has in general a \(D_{6h}\) symmetry; this means it has symmetry axes \((2C_6, 2C_3, C_2, 3C'_2, 3C''_2)\), mirror planes \((3\sigma_v, 3\sigma_d, \sigma_h)\), one inversion center \((i)\), rotation-reflection axes \((2S_3, 2S_6)\), and one identity element \((E)\). The tubes have symmetry operations depending on their chirality. The level of symmetry breaking depends on the number of shared symmetry elements between the bundle structure and the chirality of the individual tube. A tube in the bundle has to have the same atomic configuration every 60° on the circumference of the tube to share symmetry operations with the bundle. If the chirality and bundle structure share the symmetry, we say that the chirality has a \(C_6\) axis and symmetry breaking is lifted in high-symmetry configurations (e.g., 0° in Fig. 1). The high-symmetry configuration has all symmetry operations of the \(D_{6h}\) symmetry, especially mirror planes.

We study the orientational influence on the properties of the bundles by simultaneous rotation of all tubes of the bundle in steps of 1° or 5° starting from 0° to 60°. We consider the \((6,6)\), \((12,12)\), \((9,0)\), \((12,0)\), and \((12,6)\) \(C_6\)-axis chiralities. The \((12,0)\)-tube structure, for example, has the same atomic configuration every 15° around the circumference (considering screw operations), as the full circumference contains 360° and there are 24 atom positions on the circumference of the tube. This also means that the \((12,0)\) tube has the same atomic configuration at the angles 0°/360°, 60°, 120°, 180°, 240°, and 300°, therefore, it has a \(C_6\) axis. To study the effect of intertube orientation on non-\(C_6\)-axis chiralities, we further study the chiral \((8,2)\) bundle, the two zigzag bundles \((8,0)\) and \((14,0)\), and the two armchair bundles \((5,5)\) and \((10,10)\), which have nearly no common symmetry elements with the bundle structure.

We present the minimal values, maximal values, and variation in dependence of the orientation of the tubes (rotation angle) for the intertube distance \(D\) and van der Waals energy per atom \(E_{vdW}^{atom}\) for various chiralities and tube diameters (see Table I). We only see small variations for the values of non-\(C_6\)-axis chiralities, e.g., for the bundle of \((8,2)\) tubes. The intertube distance of the \((8,2)\) bundle varies by \(\Delta D \approx 0.03\) Å and \(E_{vdW}^{atom}\) varies by \(\approx 0.3\) meV, meaning by 1% or less. Our results correspond well to results of the \((10,10)\) bundle, with our maximal difference between lowest and highest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>((n,m))</th>
<th>(\theta) (deg)</th>
<th>(d) (Å)</th>
<th>(D) (Å)</th>
<th>(\Delta D)</th>
<th>(E_{vdW}^{atom}) (meV)</th>
<th>(E_{vdW}^{atom}) (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((8,0))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>3.29–3.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>31.1–31.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((5,5))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>3.33–3.35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>29.5–29.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((9,0))</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>3.17–3.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>29.5–34.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((8,2))</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>3.29–3.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>29.3–29.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((6,6))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>3.15–3.44</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>22.7–33.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((12,0))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>3.25–3.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>23.9–26.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((14,0))</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3.31–3.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>23.8–24.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((12,6))</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>3.26–3.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>21.6–22.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((10,10))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>3.27–3.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>22.2–22.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((12,12))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>3.22–3.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>18.8–21.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

van der Waals energy per atom \(E_{vdW}^{atom}\) = 0.3 meV and the activation barrier for rotations of \(\Delta E_{vdW}^{atom}\) = 0.15 meV reported previously.\(^{14}\) The activation barrier results from the reduction in symmetry from \(D_{3h}\) to \(C_{2v}\) due to rotation.\(^{15}\) The properties of bundles made from non-\(C_6\)-axis chiralities show hardly any dependence on orientation.

Comparing the intertube distance for the \((8,0)\) and the \((14,0)\) tubes, we find a variation of less than 1%–2%, whereas the tube diameter increases by \(\approx 73\%\). The van der Waals energy per atom decreases about 23% for the same diameter comparison. The tube diameter has a strong influence on the binding strength, but does not influence the intertube distance.

For the \(C_6\)-axis chiralities, we receive a radically different result for the dependence of the properties of the bundles on the rotation angle. We observe a variation of the bundle properties in dependence of the orientation for all \(C_6\)-axis chiralities (compare Table I). Starting with the zigzag chirality \((9,0)\), the intertube distance varies by \(\Delta D \approx 0.18\) Å, corresponding to about 6% variation, which is six times higher than the variation observed for non-\(C_6\)-axis chiralities and at least three times higher than the variation associated with tube diameter. Furthermore, \(\Delta E_{vdW}^{atom}\) ≈ 5.2 meV, corresponding to about 15% variation, which is 15 times higher than the variation observed for non-\(C_6\)-axis chiralities and only slightly smaller than the variation accounted to the tube diameter. For the \((12,0)\) chirality, the orientational dependence on the properties weakens, with \(\Delta D \approx 0.15\) Å (\(\approx 4\%\)) and \(\Delta E_{vdW}^{atom}\) ≈ 3 meV (\(\approx 11\%\)). The rotation energy barrier \(\Delta E_{vdW}^{atom}\) ≈ 3 meV corresponds well to the barrier of 3 meV previously reported by LDA calculations.\(^{15}\) The influence of the orientation on the bundle properties decreases with increasing tube diameter, limiting the occurrence of the special properties of the \(C_6\)-axis bundles to small-diameter nanotubes. We suppose that the increase in interaction area lowers the influence of the local symmetry. The interaction area increases through the reduced curvature of larger-diameter tubes.

The \((6,6)\) armchair bundle shows the strongest orientation dependence with \(\Delta D \approx 0.29\) Å (\(\approx 9\%\)). The rotation energy barrier \(\Delta E_{vdW}^{atom}\) ≈ 10.5 meV (\(\approx 32\%\)) is larger than \(\approx 5\) meV.
behavior (see Fig. 4). Symmetry is initially as a function of rotation angle shows symmetry-breaking dependence on the van der Waals energy $\Delta E_{vdW}$ as a function of rotation angle for a bundle of (6,6) tubes. The inset shows a side-view sketch of the atomic configuration for the three most interesting rotation angles. The front tube has black (blue) atoms, the tube in the back is in gray.

previously reported by LDA calculations. Interestingly, the variations of the bundle parameters in dependence of the orientation are smaller for the zigzag bundles than for the armchair bundles of comparable tube diameter.

For C$_6$-axis bundles of chiral tubes [e.g., (12,6)], we see a dependence on the van der Waals energy $\Delta E_{vdW} \approx 0.9$ meV $\approx 4\%$] and the intertube distance $\Delta D \approx 0.04$ Å ($\approx 1\%$)], however, the dependence is low. In chiral C$_6$-axis bundles, alignment of neighboring tubes is impossible due to the handedness of chiral tubes. Neighboring tubes with the same handedness have opposing surfaces with different handedness leading to mismatched surface structures of neighboring surface atom layers. It is not possible to interchange handedness of neighboring tubes to generate alignment for all neighbors as neighbors with same handedness remain caused through the trigonal structure of the bundle.

The intertube distance of achiral C$_6$-axis nanotubes depends on their orientation and varies by as much as 10%. The binding strength of C$_6$-axis bundles is influenced through the variation of the intertube distance but at the same time by the orientation and theoretically found to be hindered below $T \approx 260$ K, implicating that a hindrance of rotations for nanotubes in a bundle might also be possible.

At the end of this section, we want to discuss our findings and the implications for mixed-chirality carbon-nanotube bundles. Most carbon-nanotube bundles contain

FIG. 3. (Color online) Total energy for various intertube distances as a function of rotation angle for a bundle of (6,6) tubes. The total energy depends on the intertube distance as well as the orientation of the tubes. The inset shows a side-view sketch of the atomic configuration for the three most interesting rotation angles. The front tube has black (blue) atoms, the tube in the back is in gray.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Van der Waals energy per atom $E_{atom}^{vdW}$ as a function of rotation angle for a bundle of (6,6) tubes. The tubes of the bundle are rotated starting from the high-symmetry position (0°, see Fig. 1). The lines are cubic spline fits and show symmetry-breaking behavior. Global extrema occur every 10°. Minimum values occur at 10° + 30° · n and 20° + 30° · n with integer n. Global maxima occur every 30° starting from 0° and local maxima occur every 30° starting from 15°. The insets show the two exemplary configurations of 10° and 15° rotation of the tubes in the bundle. The black (blue) spheres mark the position of the top carbon atoms, the gray spheres mark the position of the bottom carbon atoms.

The error in our calculation can be estimated by comparing two identical configurations, e.g., 10°, 20°, 40°, and 50° in Fig. 4. We obtain an error of $\pm 0.2$ meV/atom for the total energy. An error of $\pm 0.02$ Å for the intertube distance can be estimated from the data in Fig. 3.

The smaller activation barrier for rotations between 15° and 20° has a value of $\Delta E = 1.8$ meV/atom and the second, larger activation barrier for rotations between all orientations (e.g., between 0° and 10°) is $\Delta E = 10.5$ meV/atom. Rotations of a solid made from C$_{60}$ fullerenes were experimentally and theoretically found to be hindered below $T \approx 260$ K, implicating that a hindrance of rotations for nanotubes in a bundle might also be possible.
nanotubes of various chiralities \((n,m)\). The binding strength and intertube distance depend in general on the orientation of the tubes in the bundle. But, the orientational dependence of the aforementioned properties is suppressed by symmetry breaking induced by mismatch of the bundle and tube symmetry. We can conclude from our calculations that the properties of bundles of mixed chiralities have a negligible dependence on tube orientation. This results from the non-C\(_6\)-axis chirality observations, which show only a weak dependence on the orientation for the bundle properties (about or less than 1% variation in orientation dependence). The tube diameter (curvature) otherwise has a strong influence on the dependence on the orientation for the bundle properties (about 0.66% variation in orientation dependence). The orientational specific intertube distances. For the high-symmetry directions, starting from the valence-band maximum and conduction-band crossing (see Fig. 6). The valence band flattens in dependence of the orientation, which results from a volume change induced by the orientational specific intertube distances. For the high-symmetry configurations, the mirror symmetry for rotated configurations is broken, which leads to anticrossing. The band gap increases until \(\approx 7^\circ\) and then becomes smaller again until it vanishes at \(15^\circ\). The different parity of the bands allows the crossing of the bands. The band splits by rotating the tubes of the bundle as little as \(1^\circ\) out of the high-symmetry position, which opens up a band gap of \(E_g = 75\) meV along the \(A\Gamma\) direction. The mirror symmetry for rotated configurations is broken, which leads to anticrossing. The band gap increases until \(\approx 7^\circ\) and then becomes smaller again until it vanishes at \(15^\circ\). The valence band flattens in dependence of the orientation for the high-symmetry directions, starting from the valence- and conduction-band crossing (see Fig. 6).

The high-symmetry configuration \((0^\circ)\) shows metallic behavior, while an increase of rotation angle of the tubes in the bundle increases a pseudogap, which is maximal at \(\approx 7^\circ\) (see density of states in Fig. 7). The pseudogap diminishes with further rotation until it closes at \(15^\circ\), which can be

![FIG. 5. (Color online) Band structure along high-symmetry directions of a bundle of (6,6) tubes rotated starting from its high-symmetry configuration \((0^\circ)\) (in rainbow colors). The band-structure diagrams are normalized to the Fermi levels.](attachment:fig5.png)

![FIG. 6. (Color online) Band structure along high-symmetry directions of a bundle of (6,6) tubes starting from the position of the valence- and conduction-band crossing (in rainbow colors). The band-structure diagrams are normalized to the Fermi levels. The valence band flattens by change in orientation, while the influence on the conduction band is smaller and it mostly keeps its shape. The bands for the orientations with metallic behavior (without pseudogap) are highlighted.](attachment:fig6.png)
accounted for by the symmetry of the configuration. The 15° configuration loses mirror planes, but has glide reflection planes, which keep the configuration metallic (see Figs. 6 and 7). Furthermore, the density of states minima shift around the Fermi level from 0 meV at the global minimum to 50 meV in dependence of the orientation (see right panel in Fig. 7). The shift of the density of states maxima leads to increased density of states at the Fermi level for orientations between 12° and 14°, compared to the metal-like behavior of the 0° orientation. This can partly be understood by comparison to the behavior observed by the band structure (see Fig. 5).

The orientation has a smaller influence on the valence side than on the conduction side of the band structure (see Fig. 7). The first peak of the conduction side shifts between 1.07 and 1.22 eV through rotation; for certain rotation angles (e.g., 15°), it becomes a shoulder. The valence band shows only small variation for the first two peaks.

We conclude that the most exciting properties of C_6-axis bundles can be found in the band structure and density of states. Achiral C_6-axis bundles can be metallic. Certain orientations possess higher density of states at the Fermi level than the metallic configurations. The minima of the density of states meanwhile shift around the Fermi level in dependence of the orientation. The band structure shows a dive of the conduction-band minimum below the Fermi level along the high-symmetry direction accompanied by a pseudogap opening, which closes again for higher-symmetry configurations that have mirror planes or glide reflection planes.

We now want to compare our results to other theoretical studies. The density of states of monochiral nanotube bundles were shown to have very similar behavior, which agrees with our results. For C_6-axis bundles, however, we find a strong coupling between the electronic states near the Fermi level and the rotational motion, which was suggested to lead to superconducting behavior previously. In contrast to previous studies, we find metallic behavior for achiral C_6-axis bundles [e.g., (6,6), (12,12)] for multiple orientations. We were able to show that no energy gap, but a pseudogap, opens in dependence of orientation of the tubes in the bundle in contrast to previous studies, which showed an energy gap in the density of states for AB-stacked (6,6) bundles as well as for the 8° orientation. Furthermore, our results show a shift of the density of states extrema around the Fermi level, corresponding to previously reported results. We also find, however, an increased density of states at the Fermi level compared to the metallic configurations for certain orientations. We find good agreement in comparison to experimentally derived density of states with the general trend of pseudogaps opening due to the bundling.

At the end of the section, we want to discuss the influence of rotation barriers on the electronic properties of monochiral achiral C_6-axis bundles. At low temperatures, certain configurations of monochiral C_6-axis chirality bundles can be stable, e.g., the orientation at ≈10° for the (6,6) bundle. At room temperature, thermal energy is likely to lead to rotations and vibrations of the tubes in the bundle. A complex electronic behavior is expected, as rotations (orientational changes) and absorption and emission occur time averaged over the experiment. Especially, the slope flipping behavior and shifts of the bands lead to a further broadening of the width of the bands, which further broadens the density of states and therefore also the optical-absorption bands for the C_6-axis chiralities. The high-symmetry configuration with metallic behavior is the energetically most unstable configuration and, therefore, semimetallic behavior has to be expected for bundles of metallic tubes. The 15° configuration is a local total energy maximum and, therefore, also expected to be an unstable configuration; even so, it is much lower in energy than the high-symmetry configuration. For larger-diameter tubes, the rotation barriers flatten, allowing metallic behavior in C_6-axis bundles of large-diameter armchair tubes.
NANOTUBE BUNDLES AND TUBE-TUBE ORIENTATION: A . . .

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we presented van der Waals energies and intertube distances of various chiralities of carbon-nanotube bundles in dependence of the orientation of the tubes inside of the bundle. Furthermore, the electronic structure of the monochiral (6,6) bundle was studied in dependence of the tube orientation. For tubes with a C\(_6\) axis, the orientation of the tubes in the bundle becomes a new degree of freedom to adjust the bundle properties. The intertube distance as well as the binding strength vary in dependence of the tube orientation. This dependence decreases with increasing tube diameter. Therefore, this effect is mainly important for bundles composed of small-diameter tubes with high curvature. By variation of the orientation of the tubes a pseudogap opens and increases until it vanishes at the next configuration, which preserves the symmetry. C\(_6\)-axis bundles of armchair tubes have metallic configurations. Certain configurations show a higher density of states at the Fermi level than the metallic configurations. As recent progress suggests, monochiral C\(_6\)-axis bundles will soon be experimentally available, which will give access to study the newly arisen bundle properties.
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