### Liquid/Semiconductor Interfaces through Vibrational Spectroscopy

R. Kramer Campen Physical Chemistry Department Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society

w/ Maria Sovago, Cho-Shuen Hsieh, Mischa Bonn, Ana Vila Verde

### Outline

- I. Justification: why vibrational spectrocopy?
- II. Background: making vibrational spectroscopy interface specific: vibrational sum frequency spectroscopy
- III. Interfacial Solvent (Water): structure and dynamics
- **IV. Semiconductor Interface:** optical detection of surface phonons

#### Why vibrational spectroscopy?

Vibrations report, label free, on inter/intramolecular forces (and structure)

#### Macromolecules (proteins)



Spectrum of local oscillators modified by environment,

- 1. Through-bond coupling
- 2. Hydrogen bonding
- 3. Transition dipole coupling

### Why vibrational spectroscopy?

Vibrations report, label free, on interatomic/molecular forces (and structure)



OH stretch modulated by anharmonic coupling to low frequency modes.

#### Interface specific vibrational spectroscopy

Vibrational Sum Frequency Spectroscopy



#### What is detected?

The field radiated by the second order induced polarization

Induced polarization can be expanded in a Taylor series

$$P \propto \chi^{(1)}E + \chi^{(2)}E^2 + \chi^{(3)}E^3 + \dots$$

Assuming two incident plane waves and just considering the second order term,

$$P^{(2)} \propto \chi^{(2)} \left( E_1 \cos \omega_1 t + E_2 \cos \omega_2 t \right)^2$$

$$E_{1}^{2} + E_{2}^{2}$$

$$E_{1}^{2} \cos 2\omega_{1}t + E_{2}^{2} \cos 2\omega_{2}t \quad \frac{1}{2}E_{1}E_{2}\cos(\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})t$$

$$E_{1}^{2}\cos(\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})t$$

### Origin of Interfacial Specificity

Even order nonlinear susceptibilities

Second order nonlinear susceptibilities are third rank tensors

Changing the sign of all indices is equivalent to inverting the axes: the material response must change sign...

$$\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = -\chi_{-i-j-k}^{(2)}$$

But, with inversion symmetry, all directions are equivalent so...

$$\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = \chi_{-i-j-k}^{(2)}$$

For materials with inversion symmetry ( $\chi^{(2)}=0$ ), inversion symmetry is always broken at interfaces.

### Origin of Chemical Specificity

Raman scattering off an excited, coherent, vibration

$$\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = N \sum_{i'j'k'} \langle R_{ii'} R_{jj'} R_{kk'} \rangle \beta_{i'j'k'}^{(2)}$$

$$\beta_{i'j'k'}^{(2)} = \sum_{q} \frac{M_{i'j'}A_{k'}}{\omega_q - \omega_{ir} - i\Gamma}$$

VSF active modes must be Raman and IR active.

#### III. Approaching the Interface from the Solvent Side: Interfacial Water Structure

#### The OH stretch at the air/water interface

*Two (or three) qualitative populations* 



#### Is the double peaked feature general? Yes!

#### Double peaked feature appears at all interfaces



Kataoka,..., Cremer (2004) Langmuir, 20(5), 1662



Figure 7. VSFS spectra (symbols) and curve fits (solid line) of the CaF<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O/stearate interface at (a) neat CaF<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O and (b) 5.6, (c) 11.0, and (d) 18.0  $\mu$ M stearate. Spectra are offset for clarity.

Becraft and Richmond (2005) Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 109(11), 5108

#### Is the free OH feature general?

It appears at all hydrophobic interfaces



Fig. 4 SFG spectrum of a fused quartz surface modified by an OTS monolayer with full coverage in a neutral phosphate buffered solution of pH 7, in the region of 2800 to  $3800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Also shown is the same spectrum at an enlarged scale (10 times) in the OH region.

Ye, Nihonyanagi, Uosaki (2001) PCCP, 3(16), 3463



Scatena, Brown, Richmond (2001) Science, 292, 908

#### What causes the double peaked feature ?

One idea: interfacial structural heterogeneity



Du,..., Shen(1993) Physical Review Letters, 70(15), 2313

#### What causes the double peaked feature ?

A second idea: symmetric/asymmetric stretch



# How can we distinguish these scenarios experimentally

Isotopic dilution



lsFG/Iref.(a.u.)

#### Two peaks collapse to one

*double peaked spectral feature ≠ water structure* 



#### Are the two peaks sym/asym?



<sup>#</sup>Gan,..., Wang (2006) <u>Journal of Chemical Physics</u>, 124, 114705.

#### Hypothesis one: two peaks are from a Fermi Resonance with the Bend Overtone



# Hypothesis two: low frequency peak from collective effects (intermolecular coupling)



Computation suggests the low frequency peak is a the result of intermolecular coupling (vibrational delocalization).

## In either case using HDO allows more straightforward access to structure



#### III. Solvent to Interface: Dynamics



#### Probing dynamics: IR pump – VSF Probe



#### Probing reorientation of the free OH



### Developing intuition for the signal



#### Free OH relaxation is intermediate



#### A brief simulation interlude

- •Simulation box is 30\*30\*60 Å.
- •Periodic boundary conditions.
- •NVE at T = 300 K.

Simulation run for 2 ns (step = 1 fs).SPC/E potential.





#### Free OH reorientation is diffusive (in MD)



#### Free OH has a preferred distribution in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Consistent with diffusion in a potential



### Model reorientation as diffusive in a potential

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{D_{\phi}}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{D_{\theta}}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} + \frac{D_{\theta}}{k_B T} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\rho D_{\theta}}{k_B T \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}$$

$$V(\theta) = \frac{k_B T}{2 \left(\Delta \theta\right)^2} \left(\theta - \theta_0\right)^2$$



## Fitting 2D diffusion model to simulation output



 $D_{\phi} = 0.32 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}, D_{\theta} = 0.36 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}$ 

III. Solvent to Interface: Dynamics

## MD results describe data without adjustable parameters



#### Free OH reorientation relative to bulk

Bulk (arb orient)  $D_{\phi} = 0.1 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}$  $D_{\theta} = 0.1 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}$  Free OH  $D_{\phi} = 0.32 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}$  $D_{\theta} = 0.36 \text{ rad}^2/\text{ps}$ 

#### <u>On average</u>, free OH reorient ≈ 3x faster than bulk

#### Phonon spectroscopy in bulk $\alpha$ -qtz

TABLE II	. Phonon	frequencies	oſ	α-quartz	at	0	Κ	at	Г
(cm <sup>-1</sup> ).									

Theory			Experi	Experiment*			
		$A_1$	modes				
238.9			2	219			
339.3			3	358			
461.7			4	469			
	1061.2 1082			82			
то	1	LO	то	LO			
A <sub>2</sub> modes							
341.4	3	65.7	361.3	385			
493.4	5	40.5	499	553			
762.4	7	84.7	778	791			
1056.5	12	18.3	1072	1230			
		Er	nodes				
133.3	1	33.4	133	133			
261.3	2	63.2	269	269			
377.6	3	89.2	393.5	402			
443.8	4	98.6	452.5	512			
690.8	6	94.5	698	701			
791.7	8	03.9	799	811.5			
1045.0	12	09.5	1066	1227			
1128.1	11	23.9	1158	1155			

Gonze, Allan, Teter (1992) Physical Review Letters, 68(24), 3603

Probing these modes optically (IR or Raman) depends on:

- 1. Mode symmetry
- 2. Tranverse (couples to IR) v. longitudinal (does not)

#### VSF phonon spectroscopy in bulk $\alpha$ -qtz







Liu and Shen (2008) Physical Review B, 78(2), 024302

VSF probes both IR and Raman active transverse optical phonons: a simplified phonon spectrum that reflects bulk symmetry.

IV. Solid to Interface

#### VSF surface phonon spectroscopy on $\alpha$ qtz and amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub>



#### VSF surface phonon spectroscopy on $\alpha$ qtz for tracking surface reconstruction



#### Conclusions

- Using VSF spectroscopy one can probe <u>both</u> interfacial solvent (most work on water) <u>and</u> surface phonons at *buried interfaces*.
- 2. Adding additional pulses to VSF experiments makes it possible to probe structural and energy relaxation dynamics with interfacial specificity.
- 3. Future work: optically probing the association of interfacial molecules with particular surface sites.

#### Related Publications (from our previous work the results of which were discussed above)

- 1. Sovago, Campen, Wurpel, Müller, Bakker, Bonn (2008) Vibrational Response of Hydrogen-Bonded Interfacial Water is Dominated by Interfacial Coupling, <u>Physical</u> <u>Review Letters</u>, 100, 173901
- 2. Sovago, Campen, Bakker, Bonn (2009) Hydrogen bonding strength of interfacial water determined with surface sum-frequency generation, <u>Chemical Physics</u> <u>Letters</u>, 470, 7
- 3. Hsieh, Campen, Vila Verde, Bolhuis, Nienhuys, Bonn (2011) Ultrafast Reorientation of Dangling OH Groups at the Air-Water Interface Using Femtosecond Vibrational Spectroscopy, <u>Physical Review Letters</u>, 107(11), 116102
- 4. Vila Verde, Bolhuis, Campen (2012) *Statics and Dynamics of Free and Hydrogen-Bonded OH Groups at the Air/Water Interface*, <u>Journal of Physical Chemistry B</u>, 116(31), 9467