

IMPROVING MEAN-FIELD THEORY FOR BOSONS IN OPTICAL LATTICES VIA DEGENERATE PERTURBATION THEORY

Martin Kübler¹, Ednilson Santos², and Axel Pelster¹

¹*Physics Department and Research Center OPTIMAS, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Germany* ²Centro de Ciências Exatas e de Tecnologia, Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Brasil

Abstract

Bosons in an optical lattice yield a paradigmatic quantum phase transition between a Mott insulator and a superfluid. Recently, a Ginzburg-Landau theory for the underlying Bose-Hubbard model has been developed, which allows to determine the location of this quantum phase transition quite accurately [1-4]. Here we extend the validity range of the corresponding mean-field theory [5] with the help of a degenerate perturbation theory. This allows to study also harmonically confined optical lattices, where a wedding cake structure of insulating Mott shells with superfluid regions between the Mott shells emerge [6, 7].

Brillouin-Wigner Perturbation Theory

Starting point: $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \lambda \hat{V}$

Convergence

		Powers in λ		
		2	4	6
One-State Approach	E_1	-1.0108081	-1.0102528	-1.0090297
	$\Psi^*\Psi$	0.19862639	0.24896610	0.25601384
Two-State Approach	E_1	-1.0100015	-1.0104087	-1.0104088
	$\Psi^*\Psi$	0.56303521	0.54132128	0.54131277
Numeric Fit	$\Psi^*\Psi$		0.54126256	

- Values for unperturbed ground-state energy E_1 and order parameter $\Psi^*\Psi$ - At $\frac{Jz}{U} = 0.02$ and degeneracy $\varepsilon = 0$

Analytic Phase Boundary

- Two-state approach [8]:
- Operator \hat{P} projects into two-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_P = \{ |n\rangle, |n+1\rangle \}$
- Effective Hamiltonian in subspace \mathcal{H}_P :

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \lambda \hat{V} + \lambda^2 \sum_{l \in \tilde{N}} \frac{\hat{V} | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{V}}{E_n - E_l^{(0)}} + \lambda^3 \sum_{l, l' \in \tilde{N}} \frac{\hat{V} | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{V} | l' \rangle \langle l' | \hat{V}}{\left(E_n - E_l^{(0)}\right) \left(E_n - E_{l'}^{(0)}\right)} + \lambda^3 \frac{\hat{V} | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{V} | l' \rangle \langle l' | l' \rangle \langle$$

- Perturbed energy eigenvalue E_n defined by:

$$\operatorname{Det} \begin{pmatrix} \langle n | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} | n \rangle - E_n & \langle n | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} | n + 1 \rangle \\ \langle n + 1 | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} | n \rangle & \langle n + 1 | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} | n + 1 \rangle - E_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

- Unperturbed energy in mean field [5] and perturbation theory:

 $\Psi = 0 \stackrel{\circ}{=}$ Mott phase, $\Psi \neq 0 \stackrel{\circ}{=}$ superfluid phase

- Graphical approach with $\hat{Q} = 1 - \hat{P}$:

$$\begin{split} S\left(\eta\right) &= E_n - \mathcal{E}_{\eta}^{(0)} \,, \text{ graph starts in state } \eta \\ L_A\left(\nu\right) &= \lambda J z \Psi \frac{\sqrt{\nu+1}}{E_n - \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{(0)}} \,, \text{ ascending line starting in } \nu \\ L_D\left(\nu\right) &= \lambda J z \Psi^* \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{E_n - \mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{(0)}} \,, \text{ descending line starting in } \nu \end{split}$$

$$\hat{O} \left\{ \begin{array}{c|cccc} n+5 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline n+5 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline n+4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n+3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n+2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n+2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n+1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline n-4 & 1 \\ \hline n-$$

• • •

 $^{*}\Psi$

- Already second order matrix contains all contributions to mean-field phase boundary:

$$^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{n}^{(0)} - E_{n} + \lambda^{2} \frac{J^{2} z^{2} \Psi^{*} \Psi n}{E_{n} - \mathcal{E}_{n-1}^{(0)}} & \lambda J z \Psi^{*} \sqrt{n+1} \\ \lambda J z \Psi \sqrt{n+1} & \mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(0)} - E_{n} + \lambda^{2} \frac{J^{2} z^{2} \Psi^{*} \Psi(n+2)}{E_{n} - \mathcal{E}_{n+2}^{(0)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Determinant yields:

Det

$$\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right) = \left(E_n - E_n^{(0)}\right) \left(E_n - E_{n+1}^{(0)}\right) + \Psi^* \Psi J \lambda z \left[E_n^{(0)} + E_{n+1}^{(0)} - 2E_n - \lambda J z \left(n+1\right) - \frac{J\lambda \left(n+2\right) z \left(E_n - E_n^{(0)}\right)}{E_n - E_{n+2}^{(0)} - J\lambda \Psi^* \Psi z} - \frac{J\lambda n z \left(E_n - E_{n+1}^{(0)}\right)}{E_n - E_{n-1}^{(0)} - J\lambda \Psi^* \Psi z}\right] + \frac{\left(\Psi^* \Psi\right)^2 J^2 \lambda^2 z^2 \left[E_n - E_{n+2}^{(0)} + J\lambda z \left(n-\Psi^* \Psi + 2\right)\right] \left[E_n - E_{n-1}^{(0)} + J\lambda z \left(n-\Psi^* \Psi\right)}{\left(E_n - E_{n+2}^{(0)} - J\lambda \Psi^* \Psi z\right) \left(E_n - E_{n-1}^{(0)} - J\lambda \Psi^* \Psi z\right)}$$

Applying
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi^*}$$
 and $\Psi^*\Psi = 0$:

$$J\lambda z \left[E_n^{(0)} + E_{n+1}^{(0)} - 2E_n - \lambda J z \left(n+1\right) - \frac{J\lambda(n+2)z(E_n - E_n^{(0)})}{E_n - E_{n+2}^{(0)}} - \frac{J\lambda n z(E_n - E_{n+1}^{(0)})}{E_n - E_{n-1}^{(0)}} \right] = 0$$

- Solve with respect to $\frac{Jz}{U}$ for phase boundary:

$$\frac{Jz}{U} = \frac{-\left(E_n - E_{n+2}^{(0)}\right)\left(E_n - E_{n-1}^{(0)}\right)\left(2E_n - E_n^{(0)} - E_{n+1}^{(0)}\right)}{U\lambda z \left(3E_n^2 \left(n+1\right) - E_n \left\{E_{n+2}^{(0)} + 3E_{n-1}^{(0)} + E_n^{(0)} \left(n+2\right) + n \left[E_{n+1}^{(0)} + 2\left(E_{n+2}^{(0)} + E_{n-1}^{(0)}\right)\right]\right\} + E_{n-1}^{(0)} \left[E_{n+2}^{(0)} + E_n^{(0)} \left(n+2\right) + E_{n+2}^{(0)} E_{n+2}^{(0)} + E_{n+2}^$$

- Reduces with Bose Hubbard Hamiltonian and $n = \lambda = 1$:

$$Jz _ E_1 (3\varepsilon + E_1) (2U + 3\varepsilon + 2E_1)$$

 $\overline{U} = -\frac{1}{3U\varepsilon + 6\varepsilon^2 + 4UE_1 + 14\varepsilon E_1 + 6E_1^2}$

- Unperturbed ground-state energy E_1 for $\Psi^*\Psi = 0$:



Linear Approximation at Degeneracy n and n + 1 [7]

- Determinant of two-state matrix with effective Hamiltonian up to first order in λ :

 $\operatorname{Det} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_n^{(0)} - E_n & \lambda J z \Psi^* \sqrt{n+1} \\ \lambda J z \Psi \sqrt{n+1} & \mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(0)} - E_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$

- Order parameter determined from $\partial E_n / \partial \Psi^* = 0$:

$$\Psi^*\Psi = \frac{n+1}{4} - \frac{(\mu - Un)^2}{4\lambda^2 J^2 z^2 (n+1)}$$

- Phase boundary follows from $\Psi^*\Psi = 0$:



(1) 0.1 -0.2 -0.1 - Both graphs for $\frac{Jz}{U} = 0.08$ - Orange: Rayleigh-Schrödinger meanfield [9]

Numeric Order Parameter

- E_n and $\Psi^*\Psi$ determined by two equations up to quadratic order in λ :



and applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi^*}$ for second equation - Order parameter $\Psi^*\Psi$ for $\frac{Jz}{T} = 0, ..., 0.20$ with stepwith $\Delta \frac{Jz}{T} = 0.01$ and n = 1- Order parameter data fit function $\Psi^*\Psi \approx \Phi$ as a function of $\varepsilon = \mu - U$:



The coloured parts coincide with eq. (1) [7].



1. F.E.A. dos Santos, and A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A 79, 013614 (2009). 2. B. Bradlyn, F.E.A. dos Santos, and A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A 79, 013615 (2009). 3. D. Hinrichs, A. Pelster, and M. Holthaus, Applied Physics B 113, 57-67 (2013). 4. T. Wang, X.-F. Zhang, S. Eggert, and A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A 87, 063615 (2013). 5. M.P.A. Fisher, P.B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546 (1989). 6. F. Gerbier, A. Widera, S. Fölling, O. Mandel, T. Gericke, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. 95, 050404 (2005). 7. K. Mitra, C.J. Williams, and C.A.R. Sa de Melo, Phys. Rev. A 77, 033607 (2008). 8. I. Hubač, S. Wilson, "Brillouin-Wigner Methods for Many-Body Systems", Springer (2010). 9. A. Hoffmann, "Bosonen im optischen Gitter", Diploma thesis (2007).

Acknowledgements

References

This work was supported by the Collaborative Research Center SFB/TR49 of the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the DAAD-CAPES-project via financial support.