





1

# Mapping between a Floquet problem and a slow evolution of a Bose gas in the mean-field regime

Etienne Wamba Technische Universität kaiserslautern

DPG-Frühjahrstagung, Rostock, March 11, 2019

# **Outline**

#### Motivation

□ Mapping scheme and driving setup

□ Heating estimation and avoidance



# **Motivation**

#### **Exactly solvable problems are rare in quantum many-body theory**, especially in

nonequilibrium scenarios in which the system Hamiltonian is explicitly time-dependent. Approximate methods are mostly used, **a few exact results have been obtained by means of space-time mappings**.

#### An exact mapping for periodically driven system

The mapping relates a periodically time-dependent many-body Hamiltonian that can be treated with **Floquet theory**, onto a time-independent Hamiltonian which can be analysed with standard mean-field and perturbative methods.



Phys. Rev. A 87, 040701(R) (2016); Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2731(2010); Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 039904 (2016) Nature Commun. 8, 15085 (2017); Scientific Reports 8, 11435 (2018)

#### Why Floquet engineering is great

- Local manipulation of magnetization in condensed matter systems
- Explore the parameter regimes inaccessible in solid-state experiments and non-equilibrium many-body physics, etc.
- Periodic modulation induces novel effects and structures in quantum systems

# **Motivation**

### Heating problem: Breakdown of the effective Hamiltonian picture

- Periodic modulation limits the implementation of interesting many-body states because of integrability breaking terms such as interactions lead to heating the system to infinite temperature for long timescales
- Altering the effective Hamiltonian results in a change of the measured observable within different timescales of the system.





One-dimensional lattice of "pancakes" formed with two laser beams. The lattice is shaken by periodically modulating the frequency of one of the laser beams.

PRL 119, 200402 (2017)

**Blue**: full time evolution of the observable; **Red**: time evolution under  $\hat{H}_{eff}$ .

□ Using exact space-time mappings to learn how periodically driven quantum 4 many-body systems can avoid being heated.

# **Mapping identities**

- The fields operators map as:

$$\widehat{\Psi}_{A}(\vec{r},t) \mapsto \widehat{\Psi}_{B}(\vec{r},t) = \lambda^{D/2} e^{-i\frac{M}{2\hbar}\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}r^{2}} \widehat{\Psi}_{A}\left(\lambda \vec{r}, \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(t')^{2} dt'\right)$$
(1a)

- > The interaction potentials map as  $U_A(\vec{r},\vec{r}',t) \mapsto U_B(\vec{r},\vec{r}',t) = \lambda^{2-D} U_A(\vec{r},\vec{r}',t)$  (1b)
- $\begin{array}{l} & \text{For the trapping potentials:} \\ & V_A(\vec{r},t) \mapsto V_B(\vec{r},t) = \lambda^2 \left[ V_A\left(\lambda \, \vec{r}, \int_0^t \lambda(t')^2 \, dt'\right) + \frac{1}{2} M f(t) \, r^2 \right] \, \text{(1c)} \\ & \text{where} \quad f(t) = \lambda (\lambda^{-2} \, \partial_t)^2 \lambda. \end{array}$

**E. Wamba,** A. Pelster, and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 043628 (2016) 5

# Key features of the mapping

# Space modulation $\vec{r} \rightarrow \lambda(t) \vec{r}$

+ Non-trivial time transformation  $t \rightarrow \int_0^t \lambda(t')^2 dt'$ 

#### Exact !

 $\langle \hat{O} \rangle$  A spacetime mapping between two different experiments for all observables in any state Experiment A phase  $e^{i\theta}$  $factor e^{i\theta}$ spatialdilatation time

No approximation made, it is **not** about **Gross-Pitaevskii** equation but **Heisenberg** equation.

#### General !

- use quantum fields not c-number fields
- valid for bosons, fermions, any mixture (species, hyperfine structures, spins)
- most real interactions and arbitrary traps
- arbitrary initial state and space dimensions,
- all possible measurements, ...

## Driving protocol and mean-field model equation

Based on our mapping we construct a model many-body system with rapid driving but no heating.

Choose 
$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}\cos(2\omega_B t) + b} \text{ and } U(\vec{r}, \vec{r}', t) = g(t) \,\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$$
(2)  

$$a = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{2}$$

$$b = \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{2}$$

$$b = \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{2}$$

$$\gamma = \omega_A / \omega_B$$

$$\gamma = \omega_A / \omega_B$$

$$\gamma = \omega_A / \omega_B$$

## Driving protocol and mean-field model equation

> With the setting above, we get:



Suppose the Bose gas is a cigar-shaped BEC. We numerically solve the mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii equation with the above parameters.

## **Result of direct numerical experiments (with GPE)**

With  $\gamma = 1.5, \omega_B = 1, g_A = 1$ , we get:  $\succ$ 

space (trap units)



density in experiment A

density evolution in experiment A (mapped from B)

## Mapping of the results and comparison

> With  $\gamma = 1.5, \omega_B = 1, g_A = 1$ , we get:



density evolution in experiment B (mapped from A)

> map B = B, and map A = A; so the mapping exactly reproduces the computed data.

- > Static evolution A has no heating  $\Rightarrow$  no heating in A (periodically driven system)
- Validity of the result guaranteed even if mean-field breaks down.

## **Estimation of the heating**

Run the numerics and compute the energy difference





### Heating rate versus driving frequency and interaction

Run the experiment for many driving frequencies and compute the heating rate



A heating trough appears in the frequency spectrum!

# **Summary**

# Learning about heating avoidance in a Floquet system using a mapping!

Using our mapping, we have revealed that among experiments with periodically driven

systems, it exists a special class with rapid periodic driving which nevertheless do not

suffer from heating, because its time evolution has a kind of hidden adiabaticity, inasmuch

as it can be mapped exactly onto that of a slowly driven or undriven system.





Beyond mean-field effects ... ?

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

50







### People involved in this project:

Etienne Wamba,



Axel Pelster

&



James R. Anglin



