

Freie Universität Berlin  
**Tutorials on Quantum Information Theory**  
Winter term 2020/21  
**Problem Sheet 2**  
**POVMs and encoding classical information**

J. Eisert, J. Haferkamp, J. C. Magdalena De La Fuente

---

**1. Non-uniqueness of the decomposition of mixed states.**

Consider two macroscopically different preparation schemes of a large number of polarised photons:

**Preparation A.** For each photon we toss a fair coin. Depending on whether we get head or tail, we prepare the photon to have either vertical or horizontal *linear* polarisation.

**Preparation B.** For each photon we toss a fair coin. Depending on whether we get head or tail, we prepare the photon to have either left-handed or right-handed *circular* polarisation.

We are given a large number of photons which all were prepared by the same scheme.

- a) Argue that having only access to the photons we can not distinguish which of the preparation schemes was used.
- b) Argue that if it were possible to distinguish such types of preparations by measuring the photon, locality would be violated.

**2. Impossible machines – no cloning.**

In this problem we will re-derive the impossibility results that you have seen in the lecture but now directly using the structure of quantum theory.

Show that there does not exist a unitary map on two copies of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which acts in the following way:

$$\forall |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} : U |\psi\rangle |0\rangle = e^{i\phi(\psi)} |\psi\rangle |\psi\rangle .$$

**3. The most general quantum measurements.**

In a quantum mechanics course, measurements are typically introduced as projective measurements of the eigenvalues of observables. But from a theoretical perspective another measurement description is often helpful. For simplicity—and in the spirit of information theory—we assume that the possible measurement outcomes are from a discrete set  $\mathcal{X}$ .<sup>1</sup>

A measurement with outcomes  $\mathcal{X}$  on a quantum system with Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  can be described by a *positive operator valued measure* (POVM) on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We denote by  $\text{Pos}(\mathcal{H}) := \{A \in L(\mathcal{H}) \mid A \geq 0\}$  the set of Hermitian positive semi-definite operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A POVM on a discrete space  $\mathcal{X}$  is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Pos}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) = \text{Id}$ . If the system is in the quantum state  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ , the probability of observing the outcome  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\text{Tr}(\mu(x)\rho)$ .

- a) What is the difference between POVM measurements and the measurement description using observables?

---

<sup>1</sup>More generally, one can replace  $\mathcal{X}$  by the  $\sigma$ -algebra of a measurable Borel space. This is the natural structure from probability theory to describe a set of all possible events in an experiment.

It is often stated that this is the most general form of a quantum measurement. We want to understand this statement in more detail. So what could be regarded as the most general quantum measurement? One can start as follows: A (general) quantum measurement  $M$  with outcomes in  $\mathcal{X}$  is a map that associates to each quantum state  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  a probability measure  $p_\rho$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e.  $M : \rho \mapsto p_\rho$  with  $p_\rho : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_\rho(x) = 1$ .

- b) Show that there is a one-to-one mapping between general quantum measurements as defined above and POVMs on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Can you come up with a more general notion of quantum measurements?

4. **Encoding classical bits.** On the last exercise sheet we introduced the description of quantum measurements with the help of POVMs. We want to use this formulation to study the following question:

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Hilbert space. Our aim is to encode  $n$  classical bits into the space of quantum states  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ . To this end, we choose a set of  $2^n$  states  $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in \{0,1\}^n} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ , each state corresponding to a bit string. To decode the bit string we have to make a measurement described by a POVM  $\{F_i\}_{i \in \{0,1\}^n}$ , where the bit string is the outcome.

How many classical bits can be encoded and decoded in a  $d$ -dimensional quantum system in this way?

Consider a source that outputs the bit string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with probability  $p(x)$ .

- a) Define the success probability of the decoding procedure.
- b) Show that for  $p(x) = 2^{-n}$  the success probability is bounded by  $2^{-n}d$ .  
*(Hint: Argue that  $\mathbb{1} \geq \rho_i$  for all  $i$  and show that for  $A \geq 0$  and  $B \geq C$  it holds that  $\text{Tr}(AB) \geq \text{Tr}(AC)$  as a starting point.)*
- c) What does this imply?