

**Problem Sheet 5**  
**Channel representations and Norms for matrices part II**

J. Eisert, J. Haferkamp, J. C. Magdalena De La Fuente

---

**1. Equivalence between representations of quantum channels**

Let us first show that the Choi-Jamiołkowski map  $J : L(L(\mathcal{X}), L(\mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X})$  is a linear bijection between the CPT maps on the one hand and the set of quantum states on  $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$  with partial-trace over  $\mathcal{Y}$  is maximally mixed on the other hand.

- a) Show that the inverse map can be defined by  $\tilde{T}(X) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{X}}[J(T)(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes X^T)]$  and makes  $J$  a bijection as described above.

**Solution:** We have to show that the map  $J$  is both injective and surjective under suitable restrictions. For injectivity (1), we show that  $\tilde{T} = T$ , for surjectivity (2) we use a result from a previous sheet and the Kraus decomposition.

*ad (1):* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(X) &= \sum_{ikl} (\mathbb{1} \otimes \langle i|)(T(|k\rangle \langle l|) \otimes |k\rangle \langle l|)(\mathbb{1} \otimes X^T)(\mathbb{1} \otimes |i\rangle) \\ &= \sum_{ikl} T(|k\rangle \langle l|) \langle i|k\rangle \langle l|X^T|i\rangle \\ &= \sum_{kl} T(|k\rangle \langle l|) \langle l|X^T|k\rangle \\ &= T\left(\sum_{kl} X_{kl} |k\rangle \langle l|\right) = T(X), \end{aligned}$$

where the last line holds by the linearity of  $T$ .

*ad (2):* Let us now show that  $J$  is surjective. To this end, choose a state  $\rho \in L(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$  with  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rho = \mathbb{1}/d$  with  $d = \dim \mathcal{Y}$ . We will show that there exists a quantum channel that has  $\rho$  as its Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorph. Express  $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |t_i\rangle \langle t_i|$  in its eigenbasis.

We now make use of a fact proved on problem sheet 3, namely that for an arbitrary pure quantum state  $|\psi\rangle$  we find an operator  $Y$  such that  $|\psi\rangle = (Y \otimes \mathbb{1})|\Omega\rangle$ . In particular, we can find operators  $K_i$  such that  $\sqrt{d}(K_i \otimes \mathbb{1})|\Omega\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_i}|t_i\rangle$ . (Recall that this is just the inverse of the vectorisation map  $\text{vec} : L(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}$  that acts on a basis as  $|i\rangle \langle j| \mapsto |i\rangle |j\rangle$ .)

Due to the partial trace condition on  $\rho$ , the  $K_i$ s fulfil

$$\sum_i K_i K_i^\dagger = d \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}}(K_i \otimes \mathbb{1}) |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| (K_i^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{1}) \quad (1)$$

$$= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} \sum_i \lambda_i |t_i\rangle \langle t_i| \quad (2)$$

$$= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rho = \mathbb{1}/d, \quad (3)$$

where for the first step we inserted a funky looking identity  $\mathbb{1} = d \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega|$  between the  $K$ s.

So the set  $\{K_i\}$  satisfies the condition that we require of Kraus operators and, thus, define a CPT channel.

Let  $\rho_T \in \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$  be the Choi-Jamiołkowski state corresponding to the quantum channel  $T$ .

- b) Determine a set of Kraus operators representing  $T$ .

**Solution:** Decompose  $\rho_T = \sum_i \lambda_i |t_i\rangle\langle t_i|$  and let  $K_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \text{vec}^{-1}(|t_i\rangle)$ , where  $\text{vec}^{-1}$  denotes the inverse map of vectorisation.

- c) Determine a unitary  $U_T$  representing  $T$  via the Stinespring representation.

**Solution:** The isometry  $V : L(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z})$ , as in the definition of the Stinespring representation, is given by  $V = \sum_i K_i \otimes |i\rangle$ , where  $|i\rangle$  are orthonormal vectors in  $\mathcal{Z}$  as is easily checked.

We now construct the unitary from the Stinespring representation as  $U : L(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Z}') \rightarrow L(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z})$  by orthogonal completion (e.g. using Gram Schmidt) such that  $U(\mathbb{1} \otimes |0\rangle) = V$  with  $|0\rangle \in \mathcal{Z}'$ .

Now, let  $U_T$  be a unitary representing  $T$  in the Stinespring representation.

- d) Determine the Choi-Jamiołkowski state representing  $T$ .

**Solution:** We obtain the isometry  $V = U_T(\mathbb{1} \otimes |0\rangle) = \sum_i K_i \otimes |i\rangle$  and then set  $\rho_T = (\text{vec} K_i)_i (\text{vec} K_i)^\dagger$ .

The rank of a quantum channel is defined as the rank of its Choi matrix.

- e) Show that a quantum channel with rank  $r$  can be represented as a Stinespring dilation using an auxiliary system of dimension  $r$ .

**Solution:** We have  $\rho_T = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |t_i\rangle\langle t_i|$ , and hence  $K_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \text{vec}^{-1}(t_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Now define  $V = \sum_{i=1}^r K_i \otimes |i\rangle$  as an isometry from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ .

## 2. Examples of quantum channels

Now we are ready to look at some examples of quantum channels acting on qubits, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$ . The following maps are important so-called noise channels

$$F_\epsilon(A) := \epsilon X A X + (1 - \epsilon) A$$

$$D_\epsilon(A) := \epsilon \text{Tr}[A] \frac{\mathbb{1}}{d} + (1 - \epsilon) A$$

$$A_\epsilon(A) := \epsilon \text{Tr}[A] |0\rangle\langle 0| + (1 - \epsilon) A,$$

where  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ .

- a) For each channel, show that it is CPT.  
b) For each channel, give its Choi-Jamiołkowski state, a Kraus representation and a Stinespring representation.

*Hint: It may help to consider  $\epsilon = 1$  in a first step and then generalize to arbitrary  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ .*

**Solution:** Let  $\text{Id}$  be the identity channel with  $J(\text{Id}) = |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|$ . Then the Choi states are given by the convex combination of the channel with  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $J(\text{Id})$ , e.g.  $J(F_\epsilon) = \epsilon J(F_1) + (1 - \epsilon) J(\text{Id})$ . Now,

$$J(F_1) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{ij} |i(i \oplus 1)\rangle\langle j(j \oplus 1)|, \quad J(D_1) = \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1}, \quad J(A_1) = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{1},$$

where  $\oplus$  denotes the addition modulo 2 a.k.a. xor.

We have the following possible Kraus representations of the channels

$$F(B) = XBX^\dagger, \quad D(B) = XBX + YBY + ZBZ + \mathbb{1}B\mathbb{1}$$

$$A(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The isometries defining the Stinespring representation are:  $V_F = X \otimes |0\rangle$ ,  $V_D = \mathbb{1} \otimes |0\rangle + X \otimes |1\rangle + Y \otimes |2\rangle + Z \otimes |3\rangle$ , likewise for  $V_A$ .

The corresponding unitaries are given, for example by  $U_F = X \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$ ,

$U_D = \text{SWAP}$  if the environment is prepared in the maximally mixed state.

- c) Give a physical interpretation and a good name for each channel.

**Solution:** Bit-flip channel, depolarizing channel, amplitude damping channel.

### 3. Schatten $p$ -norms

On the last exercise sheet we have studied the  $\ell_p$ -norms on vector spaces. The  $\ell_p$ -norms have important cousins on matrix spaces, the Schatten  $p$ -norms. As they are important distant measures in quantum information, we study there different definitions and properties in this exercise.

One way to introduce the Schatten  $p$ -norm with  $p \in [1, \infty)$  for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is

$$\|A\|_p := (\text{Tr} [|A|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $|A| := \sqrt{A^\dagger A}$  is the matrix absolute value. Furthermore, the case  $p = \infty$  is defined as the limit  $\|A\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|A\|_p$ .

These norms are related to the  $\ell_p$ -norms of the eigenvalues (or more generally the singular values) of  $A$ .

- a) Let  $A$  be a Hermitian matrix and let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be the vector of its eigenvalues. Show that

$$\|A\|_p = \|\lambda\|_{\ell_p} \quad (5)$$

for all  $p$ .

**Solution:** Let  $A = U\Lambda U^\dagger$  be the eigenvalue decomposition of  $A$ . Recall that for Hermitian  $A$  it holds that  $\sqrt{A^\dagger A} = U \text{diag}(|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|) U^\dagger$  as can be easily checked by squaring the equation. Then,

$$\|A\|_p^p = \text{Tr} \left[ (A^\dagger A)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] = \text{Tr} [U\Lambda^p U^\dagger] = \text{Tr} \Lambda^p = \sum_i \lambda_i^p = \|\lambda\|_{\ell_p}^p. \quad (6)$$

With this characterisation we have also established that the Schatten  $p$ -norms are invariant under unitary transformations.

- b) Give the statement and proof for the Hölder inequality for Schatten  $p$ -norms.

*Hint: Actually, proving the Hölder inequality rigorously involves proving the “von Neumann-inequality”, which turns out to be quite intricate. In this exercise you can simply use it:*

*Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two matrices and let  $s(A)$  and  $s(B)$  be the vector of singular values of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, ordered decreasingly. Then it holds that*

$$|\text{Tr} [AB]| \leq \text{Tr} |AB| \leq \sum_i s_i(A) s_i(B). \quad (7)$$

*For a proof (sketch) of this inequality, you can take a look at Bhatia’s book on matrix analysis. Slightly more direct proofs using doubly stochastic matrices were worked out by Mirsky. A more elementary proof was given R. D. Grigorieff in a note in ’92. You can find it on his webpage.*

**Solution:** There are different matrix version of Hölder's inequality: Let  $1 \geq p \geq \infty$  and  $q$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then

*Matrix Hölder I:*

$$\|A^\dagger B\|_1 \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_q. \quad (8)$$

*Matrix Hölder II:*

$$|\text{Tr} [A^\dagger B]| \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_q. \quad (9)$$

Just as a side remark, there is even the more general version that holds for every unitarily invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

*Matrix Hölder III:*

$$\|A^\dagger B\| \leq \|(A^\dagger)^p\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|B^q\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (10)$$

*Proof of matrix Hölder I & II.*

With the help of the von Neumann inequality, it is easy to reduce matrix Hölder to the standard Hölder inequality for vectors:

$$\text{Tr} |AB| \leq |\langle s(A) | s(B) \rangle| \leq \|s(A)\|_{\ell_p} \|s(B)\|_{\ell_q} = \|A\|_p \|B\|_q. \quad (11)$$

The second version follows from the first version by showing that

$$|\text{Tr} [A^\dagger B]| \leq \text{Tr} |A^\dagger B|. \quad (12)$$

The most important Schatten  $p$ -norms have other interesting expressions:

c) Show that the Schatten 2-norm or Frobenius norm fulfils

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |A_{ij}|^2. \quad (13)$$

**Solution:**

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \text{Tr} A^\dagger A = \sum_{i,j} \bar{A}_{ji} A_{ji} = \sum_{i,j} |A_{ij}|^2. \quad (14)$$

In general, one can define the operator norms induced by the  $\ell_p$ -norms:

$$\|A\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_q} = \sup_{\|x\|_{\ell_p}=1} \|Ax\|_{\ell_q}. \quad (15)$$

d) What is the Schatten  $p$ -norm equal to  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2}$ ?

**Solution:**

$$\|A\|_{\ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2} = \sup_{\|x\|_{\ell_2}=1} \|Ax\|_{\ell_2} = \sup_{\|x\|_{\ell_2}=1} \sqrt{\langle x, A^\dagger A x \rangle} \quad (16)$$

$$= \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^\dagger A)} = |\lambda_{\max}(A)|. \quad (17)$$

Another important properties of Schatten  $p$ -norms is *sub-multiplicativity*,  $\|AB\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_p$  for all  $p$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Sometimes the term *matrix norm* is exclusively used for sub-multiplicative norms on matrix spaces.

e) Show the sub-multiplicativity of the Schatten  $p$ -norms.

**Solution:** Using the min-max principle of the Rayleigh quotient (also called Courant-Fischer theorem), we first establish that  $|\lambda_i(AB)| \leq \|A\|_\infty |\lambda_i(B)|$ . Proof:

$$|\lambda_i(AB)| = \min_{U, \dim U=k} \max_{x \in U, \|x\|_{\ell_2}=1} \|ABx\|_2 \quad (18)$$

$$\leq \min_{U, \dim U=k} \max_{x \in U, \|x\|_{\ell_2}=1} \|A\|_\infty \|Bx\|_2 \quad (19)$$

$$= \|A\|_\infty |\lambda_i(B)|, \quad (20)$$

where we have used that  $|\langle x, Ax \rangle| \leq \|A\|_\infty |\langle x, x \rangle|$ , which follows from the operator norm definition of the spectral norm.

Now we have

$$\|AB\|_p = \left[ \sum_i |\lambda_i(AB)|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|A\|_\infty \left[ \sum_i |\lambda_i(B)|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (21)$$

$$= \|A\|_\infty \|B\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_p. \quad (22)$$

In the last step we have used the ordering of the  $p$ -norms inherited by the ordering of the  $\ell_p$ -norms, in particular  $\|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_p$  for all  $p < \infty$ .