Scattering Theory of Current-Induced Forces in Mesoscopic Systems

Niels Bode,¹ Silvia Viola Kusminskiy,¹ Reinhold Egger,² and Felix von Oppen¹

¹Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems and Fachbereich Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany

²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

(Received 28 March 2011; published 11 July 2011)

We develop a scattering theory of current-induced forces exerted by the conduction electrons of a general mesoscopic conductor on slow "mechanical" degrees of freedom. Our theory describes the current-induced forces both in and out of equilibrium in terms of the scattering matrix of the phase-coherent conductor. Under general nonequilibrium conditions, the resulting mechanical Langevin dy-namics is subject to both nonconservative and velocity-dependent Lorentz-like forces, in addition to (possibly negative) friction. We illustrate our results with a two-mode model inspired by hydrogen molecules in a break junction which exhibits limit-cycle dynamics of the mechanical modes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.036804

PACS numbers: 73.63.-b, 72.10.-d, 85.85.+j

Introduction.-Current-induced forces play a central role in many contexts of modern condensed matter physics such as molecular electronics [1], nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) [2], spintronics [3], or backaction forces in quantum measurements [4-6]. In its simplest incarnation, the current flow through, say, a carbon nanotube suspended over a gate electrode governs the electronic occupation of and thus the capacitive force exerted on the flexible nanotube. This force, including the dissipation of the mechanical motion resulting from retardation effects, was recently observed in seminal experiments [7,8]. The nature of the interaction of conduction electrons with collective degrees of freedom, such as vibrational modes in NEMS or the magnetization in spintronics devices, depends sensitively on the relevant time scales. In the context of NEMS and molecular electronics, much work has focused on the limit in which the vibrations are fast compared to typical time scales of the conduction electrons. In this regime, the electron-vibron interaction causes vibrational sidebands in the current-voltage characteristics [1], Franck-Condon blockade of transport [9], or currentinduced heating of the vibrational mode [1].

Here we consider the opposite regime in which the collective mode is slow compared to electronic time scales. This limit applies, for instance, to the flexural modes of suspended graphene membranes and carbon nanotubes [7], the current-induced electromigration of nanoscale islands on Ag surfaces [10], the cooling and amplification of mechanical motion by the mesoscopic backaction of electrons [4,5], certain molecular switches [1,11], spin transfer torques and current-induced domain wall motion in ferromagnets [3], as well as NEMS near continuous mechanical instabilities [12]. In this nonequilibrium Born-Oppenheimer (NEBO) limit, it is typically appropriate to describe the collective mode as a slow classical degree of freedom exhibiting Langevin dynamics. The central subject of this Letter is the current-induced forces governing this Langevin process. Given the wide applicability and physical transparency of the scattering approach to quantum transport in nanoscale systems [13], it seems highly desirable to develop a scattering theory of current-induced forces for a general phase-coherent mesoscopic conductor. Such a theory is our main result.

When developing a general theory of these currentinduced forces out of equilibrium, it is imperative to consider more than one collective coordinate [14,15]. Indeed, this introduces several qualitatively new and remarkable features into the Langevin equation

$$M_{\nu}\ddot{X}_{\nu} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X_{\nu}} = F_{\nu} - \sum_{\nu'} \gamma_{\nu\nu'} \dot{X}_{\nu'} + \xi_{\nu} \qquad (1)$$

for the collective coordinates X_{ν} . The left-hand side describes the elastic dynamics and the right-hand side collects the current-induced forces, including the fluctuating Langevin force $\xi_{\nu}(\mathbf{X})$. With applied bias, the current-induced average force $F_{\nu}(\mathbf{X})$ will generally be nonconservative. Moreover, the velocity-dependent force does not only contain a frictional contribution, corresponding to the symmetric part of the tensor $\gamma_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X})$, but also a "Lorentz" force (or Berry-phase contribution [16]), arising from its antisymmetric part. We will see that these features can cause unconventional collective dynamics and may provide the operating principle of a nanoscale motor.

Model.—Our starting point is a simple yet very general model of a mesoscopic conductor [13]. The "quantum dot" is described in terms of M electronic orbitals with fermion operators d_n obeying the Hamiltonian $H_D = \sum_{nn'} d_n^{\dagger}(h_D)_{nn'} d_{n'}$. The conduction electrons are coupled to a collection of N "slow" collective degrees of freedom $\mathbf{X}(t) = (X_1, \ldots, X_N)$, which may, for instance, represent vibrational modes or atomic locations. We take this coupling to be linear, $h_D = h_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \Lambda_{\nu} \hat{X}_{\nu}$, characterized by the coupling matrices Λ_{ν} . As usual in applications of scattering theory to mesoscopic transport, we assume that the electrons are otherwise noninteracting. In addition,

0031-9007/11/107(3)/036804(4)

the total Hamiltonian $H = H_D + H_L + H_T + H_X$ models the leads (labeled by α and with chemical potentials μ_{α}) as free Fermi systems, $H_L = \sum_{\eta} (\epsilon_{\eta} - \mu_{\alpha}) c_{\eta}^{\dagger} c_{\eta}$ with composite index $\eta = (\mathbf{k}, \alpha)$, in terms of the lead fermions c_{η} with Fermi-Dirac distribution $f_{\alpha}(\epsilon_{\eta})$. It also includes hybridization between leads and quantum dot through $H_T = \sum_{\eta,n} c_{\eta}^{\dagger} W_{\eta n} d_n + \text{H.c.}$ with coupling matrix W, and describes the uncoupled mode dynamics through the Hamiltonian $H_X = \sum_{\nu} \hat{P}_{\nu}^2 / 2M_{\nu} + U(\mathbf{X})$.

Nonequilibrium Born-Oppenheimer approximation.— Generalizing previous formulations for N = 1 [11], we start by deriving Green's function (GF) expressions for the current-induced forces in Eq. (1) within the Keldysh formalism, based on the Heisenberg equations of motion

$$M_{\nu}\ddot{X}_{\nu} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X_{\nu}} = -\sum_{n,n'} d_n^{\dagger} (\Lambda_{\nu})_{nn'} d_{n'}.$$
 (2)

(An alternative derivation proceeds in terms of Keldysh functional integrals, following, e.g., Ref. [17].) In the NEBO approach, we average the force operator appearing on the right-hand side over time intervals long compared to the electronic time scales but short compared to time scales of the collective dynamics. In addition to a fluctuating force ξ_{ν} discussed below, this yields a time-averaged force tr[$i\Lambda_{\nu}G^{<}(t,t)$]. Here, the trace acts in dot level space, and the lesser GF is $G_{nn'}^{<}(t,t') = i\langle d_{n'}^{\dagger}(t')d_n(t)\rangle$. This electronic GF should be evaluated for a given time dependence $\mathbf{X}(t)$ of the collective coordinates. To linear order in the velocities \dot{X}_{ν} , we find (see below)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{<} &\simeq G^{<} + \frac{i}{2} (\partial_{\epsilon} G^{<} \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} G^{A} - G^{<} \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} \partial_{\epsilon} G^{A} \\ &+ \partial_{\epsilon} G^{R} \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} G^{<} - G^{R} \mathbf{\Lambda} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} \partial_{\epsilon} G^{<}) \end{aligned}$$
(3)

in terms of the frozen (adiabatic) GF $G(\epsilon, \mathbf{X})$ obtained for fixed configuration **X**. Inserting Eq. (3) into the timeaveraged force given above, we obtain GF expressions for the current-induced forces in Eq. (1). The mean force

$$F_{\nu}(\mathbf{X}) = -\int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi i} \operatorname{tr}[G^{<}(\epsilon, \mathbf{X})\Lambda_{\nu}]$$
(4)

follows from the strictly adiabatic (frozen) limit $G^{<} \simeq G^{<}$. The first nonadiabatic correction of $G^{<}$ gives rise to the velocity-dependent term in Eq. (1). Decomposing $\gamma = \gamma^{s} + \gamma^{a}$ into symmetric and antisymmetric contributions, we obtain for the frictional contribution

$$\gamma^{s}_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X}) = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr}\{\Lambda_{\nu}G^{<}\Lambda_{\nu'}\partial_{\epsilon}G^{>}\}_{s}$$
(5)

and for the effective orbital magnetic field

$$\gamma^{a}_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X}) = -\int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr}\{\Lambda_{\nu}G^{<}\Lambda_{\nu'}\partial_{\epsilon}(G^{A} + G^{R})\}_{a}.$$
 (6)

Here, we employed $G^> = G^< + G^R - G^A$ with $G^A = (G^R)^{\dagger}$ and the shorthand $\{A_{\nu\nu'}\}_{s/a} = (A_{\nu\nu'} \pm A_{\nu'\nu})/2$.

The fluctuating force ξ_{ν} in Eq. (1) can be obtained from the (thermal and nonequilibrium) fluctuations of the force operator $-\sum_{n,n'} d_n^{\dagger} (\Lambda_{\nu})_{nn'} d_{n'}$ in Eq. (2). Exploiting Wick's theorem, one readily finds that the fluctuating force is a Gaussian white noise variable, $\langle \xi_{\nu}(t)\xi_{\nu'}(t')\rangle = D_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X})\delta(t-t')$, with symmetric variance matrix

$$D_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X}) = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \operatorname{tr} \{ \Lambda_{\nu} G^{<} \Lambda_{\nu'} G^{>} \}_{s}.$$
 (7)

The correlations of $\xi_{\nu}(t)$ are local in time since in the NEBO limit, the electronic fluctuations involve much shorter time scales than the collective dynamics. For N = M = 1, our expressions recover previous results [11]. We emphasize that all current-induced forces in Eq. (1) are nontrivial functions of the collective coordinates **X** as the latter enter into the frozen GF $G(\epsilon, \mathbf{X})$.

We briefly sketch the derivation of Eq. (3). Following Refs. [11,18], we start from the Dyson equation $G^R(i\partial_{t'} - H_D - \Sigma^R) = \mathbf{1}$ for the retarded dot GF. The coupling between dot and leads is accounted for by the self-energy $\Sigma^R = -i\sum_{\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha}$ in terms of the hybridization matrices $\Gamma_{\alpha} = \pi\nu W^{\dagger}\Pi_{\alpha}W$ (ν is a lead density of states and Π_{α} a projection operator to states in lead α). The Dyson equation can now be solved to linear order in $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ after passing to the Wigner representation. Relating $G^{<}$ to G^R using the Langreth rules yields Eq. (3).

Scattering theory of current-induced forces.—The scattering approach to quantum transport in mesoscopic systems, as epitomized by the Landauer-Büttiker formula for the conductance, is formulated in terms of the *S* matrix describing the single-particle scattering by the nanostructure of electrons incident from one lead. For the model considered here, the frozen *S* matrix is readily related to the frozen retarded GF $G^{R}(\epsilon, \mathbf{X})$ through [13]

$$S(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{X}) = 1 - 2\pi i \nu W G^{R}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{X}) W^{\dagger}.$$
 (8)

We assume the wideband limit in which ν and W are energy independent. The average force $F_{\nu}(\mathbf{X})$ in Eq. (4) can be written in terms of the frozen *S* matrix [Eq. (8)] by expressing the lesser GF $G^{<} = G^{R} \Sigma^{<} G^{A}$ in terms of the self-energy $\Sigma^{<}(\epsilon) = 2i \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ [13]. Using the identity $2\pi i \nu W^{\dagger} W = (G^{R})^{-1} - (G^{A})^{-1}$, we then find

$$F_{\nu}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi i} f_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Pi_{\alpha} S^{\dagger} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu}}\right) \tag{9}$$

for the mean force expressed through the *S* matrix. Here the trace "Tr" acts in lead-channel space. By a similar set of steps, we can also express the variance [Eq. (7)] of the fluctuating force in terms of the frozen *S* matrix,

$$D_{\nu\nu'}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\alpha\alpha'} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} f_{\alpha} (1 - f_{\alpha'}) \\ \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha} \left(S^{\dagger} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu}} \right)^{\dagger} \Pi_{\alpha'} S^{\dagger} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu'}} \right\}_{s}.$$
(10)

By going to a basis in which D is diagonal and using $\Pi_{\alpha} = \Pi_{\alpha}^2$, we find that D is a positive definite matrix.

To express the velocity-dependent forces in terms of the scattering matrix in general nonequilibrium situations, we need to go beyond the frozen scattering matrix *S*. The relevant concepts have been developed in the context of the scattering theory of quantum pumps [18]. For adiabatic parameter variations, the full *S* matrix of mesoscopic conductors can be expressed in Wigner representation as $S(\epsilon, t) = 1 - 2\pi i \nu W G^R(\epsilon, t) W^{\dagger}$, which naturally extends Eq. (8). Expanding *S* to linear order in the velocities $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ of the adiabatic variables, Moskalets and Büttiker [18] introduced an *A* matrix through $S(\epsilon, t) \simeq S(\epsilon, \mathbf{X}(t)) + \sum_{v} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{v}(t)A_{v}(\epsilon, \mathbf{X}(t))$, where

$$A_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \mathbf{X}) = \pi \nu W(\partial_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} G^{R} \Lambda_{\nu} G^{R} - G^{R} \Lambda_{\nu} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} G^{R}) W^{\dagger} \quad (11)$$

in terms of the frozen GF. It is now tedious but straightforward to write the damping coefficients in Eq. (5) as

$$\gamma_{\nu\nu'}^{s} = \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{4\pi} (-\partial_{\epsilon} f_{\alpha}) \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha} \frac{\partial S^{\dagger}}{\partial X_{\nu}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu'}} \right\}_{s} + \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi i} f_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial S^{\dagger}}{\partial X_{\nu}} A_{\nu'} - A_{\nu'}^{\dagger} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu}} \right) \right\}_{s}.$$
(12)

Similarly, the effective magnetic field in Eq. (6) becomes

$$\gamma^{a}_{\nu\nu'} = \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi i} f_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Pi_{\alpha} \left(S^{\dagger} \frac{\partial A_{\nu}}{\partial X_{\nu'}} - \frac{\partial A^{\dagger}_{\nu}}{\partial X_{\nu'}} S \right) \right\}_{a}.$$
 (13)

Several comments on these results are now in order. (i) The current-induced mean force $F_{\nu}(\mathbf{X})$ in Eq. (9) is conservative (the gradient of a potential) if its "curl"

$$\frac{\partial F_{\nu}}{\partial X_{\nu'}} - \frac{\partial F_{\nu'}}{\partial X_{\nu}} = \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{d\epsilon}{\pi i} f_{\alpha} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\prod_{\alpha} \frac{\partial S^{\dagger}}{\partial X_{\nu}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial X_{\nu'}} \right)_{a} \quad (14)$$

vanishes. This is indeed the case in thermal equilibrium, where Eq. (14) can be turned into a trace over a commutator of finite-dimensional matrices due to the relations $f_{\alpha} = f$, $\sum_{\alpha} \prod_{\alpha} = 1$, and unitarity $S^{\dagger}S = \mathbf{1}$ implying $\partial (S^{\dagger}S)/\partial \overline{X}_{\mu} = 0$. In general, however, the mean force will be nonconservative in out-of-equilibrium situations. Equation (9) for F(X) provides an S-matrix representation for the "water wheel" [14] or "electron wind" [15] force discussed recently. (ii) The second contribution to the damping matrix (12) is a pure nonequilibrium term. In fact, it can be shown to vanish in equilibrium using unitarity of S as well as S, where the latter implies [18] AS^{\dagger} + $SA^{\dagger} = (i/2)[\partial_{\mathbf{X}}S\partial_{\epsilon}S^{\dagger} - \partial_{\epsilon}S\partial_{\mathbf{X}}S^{\dagger}]$. The first term in Eq. (12) is a close analog of the S-matrix expression for Gilbert damping in ferromagnets [19]. From this perspective, our expressions for γ^s can be viewed as mechanical analogs of Gilbert damping, generalized to arbitrary nonequilibrium situations. While the first term has strictly positive eigenvalues, the second term can have either sign and even cause negative eigenvalues of the full

dissipation matrix γ^s . (For a simple model exhibiting negative damping cf. Ref. [20].) This reflects the fact that out of equilibrium, the electronic degrees of freedom can effectively pump energy into the collective modes. (iii) If the conductor is time-reversal symmetric such that $S = S^T$ and $A = -A^T$, the effective orbital magnetic field γ^a vanishes in thermal equilibrium. In general out-ofequilibrium situations, γ^a is nonzero even for time-reversal symmetric conductors since the current provides another quantity which is odd under time reversal. (iv) In thermal equilibrium, the variance $D_{\nu\nu'}$ of the fluctuating force is related to the dissipation matrix γ^s through the fluctuationdissipation theorem $D_{\nu\nu'} = 2T\gamma^s_{\nu\nu'}$. This follows readily since the second term in Eq. (12) vanishes in equilibrium and $-\partial_{\epsilon}f = f(1-f)/T$. We note that a recent study [6] of backaction forces in quantum measurements in terms of the S matrix obtains a friction coefficient which violates the fluctuation-dissipation theorem unless the S matrix is energy independent. (v) The current flowing in lead α is given in terms of an appropriate average over the Langevin process of the current to linear order in $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$. Starting with the GF expression, this is the sum of the conventional Landauer-Büttiker current [13], $I_{\alpha}(\mathbf{X}) =$ $(e/h)\sum_{\beta}\int d\epsilon (f_{\alpha}-f_{\beta})\operatorname{Tr}(S\Pi_{\beta}S^{\dagger}\Pi_{\alpha})$, and a pumping correction [18],

$$\delta I_{\alpha}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{e}{2\pi} \int d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sum_{\beta} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \left[-\frac{\partial f_{\beta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\Pi_{\alpha} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \Pi_{\beta} S^{\dagger} \right) + f_{\beta} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \left(i \Pi_{\alpha} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \Pi_{\beta} \frac{\partial S^{\dagger}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - 2 \Pi_{\alpha} \mathbf{A} \Pi_{\beta} S^{\dagger} \right) \right]$$
(15)

In thermal equilibrium, the first term reproduces the well-know S-matrix expression [21] for the pumping current, while the second term vanishes by unitarity of S.

Limit-cycle dynamics.—We now employ our general theory to show that at finite bias, the current-induced forces can change the collective dynamics qualitatively. Without applied bias, the collective dynamics exhibits oscillations about a stable equilibrium. These oscillations can become destabilized under out-of-equilibrium conditions, due to negative eigenvalues of the dissipation matrix γ^s , a non-conservative force field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})$, or both. Within a stability analysis for these scenarios, one would predict an exponential instability of at least one collective mode [15].

A more thorough understanding of the resulting dynamics requires one to retain the full anharmonic Langevin dynamics, including the complete dependence of the current-induced forces on the mode coordinates \mathbf{X} , which is readily possible within our formalism. Indeed, we find that the current-induced instability typically drives the collective degrees of freedom into a limit cycle. Systems with more than one collective degree of freedom are most susceptible to this scenario when the elastic contributions to the mode frequencies are (near)degenerate.



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Limit cycle (blue solid line) and its approach (blue dotted line) at large bias vs stable oscillations at low bias (red asterisk) in the Langevin dynamics without fluctuating force. (b) Several periods of typical trajectories with fluctuating forces for the parameters of the limit cycle in (a). (c) Fourier transform of the correlation function $\langle X_1(t)X_2(t+\tau)\rangle$. The limit cycle is signaled by a single peak, as opposed to two peaks in the absence of a limit cycle. (d) The same signature appears in the current-current correlation function, making the onset of limit-cycle dynamics observable in experiment. These zero-temperature results, based on analytical results for the current-induced forces, are obtained for $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \sigma_0$ and $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_2 \sigma_x$ with $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = 3/2$. In units of $\lambda^2/(M\omega_0^2)$ [where $\lambda = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2$], the elastic modes are degenerate with $\hbar\omega_0 = 0.014$, $\Gamma_{L,R} = \frac{1\pm0.8}{2}(\sigma_0 \pm \sigma_z)$, and the hopping between the orbitals is w = 0.9. The dimensionless coordinates are $x_i = (M\omega_0^2/\lambda)X_i$.

Consider a model, inspired by transport measurements on hydrogen molecules [22], with two electronic orbitals which are each coupled to one of the leads and which allow tunneling between them. The hydrogen molecule in a singlemolecule contact has two almost degenerate low-energy vibrational modes [22], corresponding to the center-ofmass vibration between the contacts and the rigid-rotation mode. Taking their respective couplings Λ_{ν} to the electronic orbitals as Pauli matrices in the electronic subspace, say σ_0 and σ_x , we find that the mean force becomes nonconservative for a finite bias. Without fluctuating force, this gives rise to limit-cycle dynamics at larger biases which evolves from the stable equilibrium at small voltages, see Fig. 1(a). With Langevin force [Fig. 1(b)], the trajectory fluctuates about the limit cycle, with occasional larger excursions.

Most importantly, this transition into limit-cycle dynamics has directly observable consequences even for the full Langevin dynamics. In the absence of a limit cycle, the collective motion is a random superposition of two normal modes whose frequencies differ due to the current-induced forces. (Here, we assume that the elastic mode frequencies are strictly degenerate.) Apart from higher harmonics, this leads to two distinct peaks in the Fourier transforms of correlation functions such as $\langle X_1(t)X_2(t+\tau)\rangle$. In contrast, once the limit cycle develops, its frequency completely dominates the correlation function, and its Fourier transform exhibits a single peak only [Fig. 1(c)]. This frequency locking is mirrored in the correlation function of the current, as shown in Fig. 1(d). This should serve as a direct signature of current-induced limit-cycle dynamics in experiment. Remarkably, the present system resembles a nanoscale motor as the mechanical motion remains almost periodic even for the full Langevin dynamics and this motion is driven by a nonconservative force.

We acknowledge discussions with P. Brouwer, G. Zarand, and L. Arrachea as well as support by the DFG through SPP 1459, SFB TR/12, and SFB 658.

- [1] M. Galperin, M.A. Ratner, and A. Nitzan, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 19, 103201 (2007).
- [2] H. G. Craighead, Science 290, 1532 (2000); M. L. Roukes, Phys. World 14, 25 (2001).
- [3] D.C. Ralph and M.D. Stiles, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320, 1190 (2008).
- [4] A. Naik et al., Nature (London) 443, 193 (2006).
- [5] J. Stettenheim et al., Nature (London) 466, 86 (2010).
- [6] S. D. Bennett, J. Maassen, and A. A. Clerk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 217206 (2010).
- [7] G.A. Steele et al., Science 325, 1103 (2009).
- [8] B. Lassagne et al., Science 325, 1107 (2009).
- [9] R. Leturcq et al., Nature Phys. 5, 327 (2009).
- [10] C. Tao, W.G. Cullen, and E.D. Williams, Science 328, 736 (2010).
- [11] D. Mozyrsky, M. B. Hastings, and I. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 73, 035104 (2006); F. Pistolesi, Ya. M. Blanter, and I. Martin, *ibid.* 78, 085127 (2008).
- [12] G. Weick *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 121409(R) (2010); G.
 Weick, F. von Oppen, and F. Pistolesi, *ibid.* **83**, 035420 (2011).
- [13] Yu. V. Nazarov and Ya. M. Blanter, *Quantum Transport* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2010).
- [14] T. N. Todorov, D. Dundas, and E. J. McEniry, Phys. Rev. B 81, 075416 (2010).
- [15] J. T. Lü, M. Brandbyge, and P. Hedegard, Nano Lett. 10, 1657 (2010).
- [16] M. V. Berry and J. M. Robbins, Proc. R. Soc. A 442, 659 (1993).
- [17] A. Zazunov and R. Egger, Phys. Rev. B 81, 104508 (2010).
- [18] M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 69, 205316 (2004); L. Arrachea and M. Moskalets, *ibid.* 74, 245322 (2006).
- [19] A. Brataas, Y. Tserkovnyak, and G.E.W. Bauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 037207 (2008).
- [20] R. Hussein et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 165406 (2010).
- [21] P. W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 58, R10135 (1998).
- [22] R.H.M. Smit *et al.*, Nature (London) **419**, 906 (2002).