Percolation model for a selective response of the resistance of composite semiconducting *np* systems with respect to reducing gases

Stefanie Russ*

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Arnimallee 14, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany (Received 29 August 2013; revised manuscript received 6 April 2014; published 28 August 2014)

It is shown that a two-component percolation model on a simple cubic lattice can explain an experimentally observed behavior [Savage *et al.*, Sens. Actuators B **79**, 17 (2001); **72**, 239 (2001).], namely, that a network built up by a mixture of sintered nanocrystalline semiconducting n and p grains can exhibit selective behavior, i.e., respond with a resistance increase when exposed to a reducing gas A and with a resistance decrease in response to another reducing gas B. To this end, a simple model is developed, where the n and p grains are simulated by overlapping spheres, based on realistic assumptions about the gas reactions on the grain surfaces. The resistance is calculated by random walk simulations with nn, pp, and np bonds between the grains, and the results are found in very good agreement with the experiments. Contrary to former assumptions, the np bonds are crucial to obtain this accordance.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022141

I. INTRODUCTION

The percolation model is well known for its ability to describe a phase transition between an insulating and a conducting phase and has already been used to describe insulator-conductor transitions in electrolytes, two-component conductors, binary glasses, and more (for an overview see, e.g., Ref. [1]). In Ref. [2], it was proposed that a disordered system of sintered nanocrystalline semiconducting n grains should act as a gas sensor [3-6], i.e., switch from the insulating to the conducting phase in response to a reducing gas. The mechanism based on the percolation effect is the following: In normal air, according to a standard model [2,7], oxygen adsorbs at the grain surface and traps mobile electrons [see Fig. 1(a)] so that smaller grains are insulating. When the oxygen coverage is reduced by a reaction of the oxygen with a reducing gas, more and more grains become conducting until the concentrations of conducting grains and bonds both exceed the so-called critical concentrations [8]. Then, the resistance R of the system jumps to a much smaller order of magnitude allowing for the detection of the gas. (For an overview of percolation effects in gas sensors, see Ref. [9].)

However, this transition alone delivers no information on the type of the reducing gas. The ability of a sensor to answer differently to different gases is named "selectivity" and is—even if highly desirable—difficult to achieve [10,11]. One approach is to compose a sensor of two types of grains, one of them decreasing and the other one increasing R with increasing gas concentration as, e.g., semiconducting n and p grains [12,13]. Such a composite system should be able to respond with a resistance increase as well as with a decrease to the gas exposure, and even a mutual neutralization of both effects is conceivable. The challenge is to find one specific system that can show both, increase and decrease in R, depending on the gas. Experimentally, such a behavior has been reported on systems of sintered TiO₂ grains in the two representations anatase and rutile [12] that have been reported as n and psemiconductors, respectively. A sample with a volume ratio 1:3 of *n*-anatase to *p*-rutile was found to show (nearly) no

PACS number(s): 64.60.ah, 68.35.bg, 73.63.Bd

response to CH_4 but react with a resistance decrease to CO. Percolation effects have been assumed responsible for this interesting behavior.

In this paper, I develop a simple model, based on the percolation model, that captures the essential properties of composite gas sensors and show by computer simulations that different coexisting percolating pathways can indeed cause a selective behavior towards different reducing gases. Similar to the observations of Ref. [12] I show that certain composite systems can show no reaction or even an increase in R to a gas A and a decrease in R to another gas B. However, contrary to the assumptions of Ref. [12], I show that bonds between nand p grains play a major role for a selective behavior as they couple the two types of paths. Both types of grains are modeled in reasonable approximations under the influence of adsorbed oxygen, thereby applying basic microscopical concepts [7,14– 16]. Different gases are distinguished by their reaction rates with the adsorbed oxygen, expressed by appropriate "reaction factors" α_n and α_p that describe the ratio of oxygen that reacts with the considered gas on n and on p surfaces, respectively. All grains are now conducting (in contrast to the papers of Refs. [2,8]), i.e., the *n* grains are not too small.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the microscopical picture of the single grains is explained, whereas Sec. III describes how the grains are organized in networks and how the resistances are computed. Section IV presents the results, and in the final Sec. V, the specifics and simplifications of this model are discussed as well as possible extensions.

II. MICROSCOPICAL PICTURE

A. Surface effects on *n* grains

The standard Schottky model of an *n* grain under the influence of oxygen [4,6–8,17,18] at not too high temperatures (where surface reactions are dominant over bulk reactions) is depicted in Fig. 1(a): In normal air, oxygen is adsorbed on the grain surface and traps electrons from the conduction band so that the grains are covered by oxygen ions. This way, a negative surface charge arises at the grain surfaces that is accompanied by a positively charged depletion layer of thickness $\lambda_n(0)$ (space charge layer) where the argument 0

^{*}Corresponding author: russ@physik.fu-berlin.de



FIG. 1. (a) and (b) Schematized models of (a) an *n* grain and (b) a *p* grain, covered by adsorbed oxygen that traps electrons on the surface (small black dots), thereby becoming ionized and creating depletion shells or enrichement shells, respectively. The depletion shell of (a) with thickness $\lambda_n(0)$ contains no electrons, whereas the enrichment shell of (b) with thickness $\lambda_p(0)$ has an increased hole density as compared to the bulk.

stands for zero concentration of the reducing gas. The inner core of the grains is considered as unchanged with an electron density that equals the volume density N_D of donor atoms that are considered fully ionized. In the Schottky approximation, one assumes that the density N_D^- of mobile electrons in the depletion layer is zero and finds $\lambda_n(0) = (2\epsilon_0\epsilon_r eV_s/N_D e^2)^{1/2}$ by solving the one-dimensional Poisson equation where the work function eV_s between the surface and the core is on the order of 1 eV or somehow below [15]. Here, we will rely on the values of $\lambda_n(0) \approx 10$ nm and $N_D = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ nm⁻³ that have already been used in Refs. [2,4,8,19,20]. From $\lambda_n(0)$ and N_D , one gets the surface density of the (initially) adsorbed oxygen by the condition of "charge neutrality" as $N_{\text{ox},n}^0 \approx \lambda_n(0)N_D = 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ nm⁻².

When a reducing gas as, e.g., CO is offered, according to a standard model [2,7], it reacts with part of the adsorbed oxygen according to $O^- + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + e^-$ and releases the electrons back to the bulk so that the negative surface charge is reduced. Now, the charge neutrality delivers $\lambda_n(N_r)$ as a function of the surface density N_r of the reducing gas,

$$\lambda_n(N_r) \approx \frac{N_{\text{ox},n}^0 - \alpha_n N_r}{N_D} = \lambda_n(0) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_n N_r}{N_{\text{ox},n}^0}\right).$$
(1)

The reaction factor α_n is related to the equilibrium rate of the reaction between the oxygen and the reducing gas and describes the fraction of gas that reacts with the adsorbed oxygen. A simpler version of Eq. (1) has already been used in Refs. [2,8] with $\alpha_n = 1$, i.e., under the assumption that each gas molecule reacts with one oxygen atom. As surface reactions on different types of grains are compared here, I apply the more realistic assumption that $\alpha_n \leq 1$. Clearly, α_n differs from the respective reaction factor on *p* grains.

B. Surface effects on *p* grains

Also the *p* grains can be described by a positively charged shell and a neutral core [16] [see Fig. 1(b)] where the volume density of positive holes in the core equals the acceptor density N_A . When oxygen adsorbs on the surface of the *p* grains, it traps electrons from the valence band, thereby creating additional holes. Therefore, also on the surface of the *p* grains a positively charged shell arises but instead of a depletion

layer (as on the *n* grains) it represents an accumulation layer. This means that the density of mobile holes N_A^+ inside the *p* shell is even increased as compared to the hole density of the core, i.e., $N_A^+ > N_A$. N_A^+ depends on the potential V_s and the temperature *T* via the Boltzmann distribution. Contrary to the value of N_D^- (on the *n* grains) that is simply set to zero, the mean density N_A^+ of mobile holes in the accumulation layer must also be determined. This has been performed in Ref. [16] based on prior considerations from Ref. [15] under the assumption that the grain diameter *D* is much larger than the thickness of the accumulation layer, yielding

$$N_{A}^{+} = N_{A} \left\{ \left[\exp\left(\frac{eV_{s}}{k_{B}T}\right) - \frac{eV_{s}}{k_{B}T} - 1 \right]^{1/2} + 1 \right\}.$$
 (2)

(In the following, I account for the condition $D \gg \lambda_p$ by choosing $\langle D \rangle \ge 100$ nm.) Also other parameters for the *p* grains can be taken from Ref. [16]: The thickness $\lambda_p(0)$ of the accumulation layer in normal air is normally attributed to the Debye length $L_D = (\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r k_B T / N_A e^2)^{1/2}$ with the Boltzmann constant k_B as $\lambda_p(0) \approx \sqrt{2}L_D$, whereas eV_s has been specified as between 0.3 and 0.6 eV and N_A as between 1.4×10^{-4} and 10^{-1} nm⁻³. With $T \approx 500$ K, this leads to $\lambda_p(0)$ between 0.7 and 16.5 nm and a ratio N_A^+/N_A between 30 and 1000. The surface density of initially adsorbed oxygen is again obtained via the charge neutrality as $N_{\text{ox},p}^0 = \lambda_p(0)(N_A^+ - N_A)$, leading to values of $N_{\text{ox},p}^0 \gg N_{\text{ox},n}^0$, as already pointed out in Ref. [4]. In order to control the response of the systems by λ_p , I rely on those values (inside this range) where $\lambda_p(0)$ is not too small, i.e., N_A is not too large.

Under the influence of a reducing gas, $\lambda_p(N_r)$ can be estimated in complete analogy to (1) as

$$\lambda_p(N_r) \approx \frac{N_{\text{ox},p}^0 - \alpha_p N_r}{N_A^+ - N_A} = \lambda_p(0) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p N_r}{N_{\text{ox},p}^0}\right), \quad (3)$$

where α_p describes the reaction rate between the reducing gas and the oxygen on the surface of the *p* grains. We can see from Eqs. (1) and (3) that the thicknesses of the shells are largest when the grain surfaces are covered solely by adsorbed oxygen, i.e., when $N_r = 0$.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

A. The random walk method

The mapping of a complicated composite system onto a percolation problem has been discussed for several decades [21–26]. In a general system of overlapping conducting particles inside a matrix of lower conductance, different types of contacts between particles may occur. A model example is the "checkerboard," i.e., a two-dimensional (2D) or three-dimensional system of squares or cubes of different materials that touch each other by nearest-neighbor contacts (via the borders) and by next-nearest-neighbor point contacts via the corners (where paths around the edges through neighboring units may be involved [22]). It has long been shown that percolation thresholds and exponents of these systems differ from the ones of the corresponding properties of percolating networks where the squares (or cubes) have been replaced by discrete sites connected by bonds and that the corner contacts

between next-nearest neighbors may even lead to a second percolation threshold [21–23]. Different "mixing rules" telling us in which geometrical shapes and with which connections disordered heterogeneous media should be mapped onto lattices have been established [24] and tested by comparing the numerical solutions of different physical properties as, e.g., conductance or admittance gained on discretized lattices to the exact solutions [23,25]. However, although checkerboard problems can be solved analytically and numerically by discretizing the systems [25], for the majority of systems, clear mixing rules are not available [24].

In the systems of this paper, n and p grains with similar conductances are sintered together. We consider well-sintered grains where the electron hopping across grain boundaries can be neglected [19,20], especially when the grain surfaces are rough [27]. Conductance over next-nearest neighbors by a direct (small) overlap or a point contact is in principle possible if both next-nearest neighbors are big enough, but for the system parameters chosen here the contribution to the conductance over nearest neighbors (see below for the sintering parameter Θ).

Here, it is not our aim to reproduce the exact resistance of a given system but to understand the qualitative behavior, namely, if percolation effects are able to influence the reaction of such systems towards different gases in a way that the same system can show increasing, decreasing, or constant resistance as a function of the surface gas density. Therefore, we consider the relative resistance $R(N_r)/R(0)$, i.e., the ratio between the resistances with and without gas so that all specific material properties that vary only slowly with N_r cancel out. The model system has been kept simple: The resistances have been calculated by random walk simulations [1,9,28] on a disordered cubic lattice where the sites represent simplified n or p grains, bonds between nearest-neighbor sites have been estimated from the area of the conducting overlap between them, and next-nearest-neighbor contacts have been neglected. To this end, the nanogranular films are mapped onto a simple cubic network of size $N \times N \times N_z$ and lattice constant a, consisting of sites and bonds between the sites. N_z is the number of monolayers, and $N \gg N_z$. Random walk simulations are a well-explored method to calculate the specific resistance ρ of discretized disordered systems. I shortly illuminate the random walk method with the help of Fig. 2 where a single random walk of 50 time steps is shown on a square lattice. The first 50 steps of this walk are shown in Fig. 2(a) in a schematized picture, whereas Fig. 2(b) shows $r(t) \equiv \sqrt{r^2(t)}$ of this walk as a function of t. The walker starts at the lattice site labeled with 0 and performs an irregular trajectory $\vec{r}(t)$. Finally, in (c) we see the "mean square displacement" $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ averaged over 10, 10², and 10⁴ realizations and can see that on average $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ gives a straight line, i.e., $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim t$.

The macroscopic resistance *R* of a layer of cross section *A* and length *L* is proportional to the specific resistance ρ by $R = \rho L/A$, where ρ is inversely proportional to the diffusion coefficient (and thus to the mobility of the charge carriers) via the Einstein relation [29,30]. Different definitions of the diffusion coefficient may come into play, and the underlying assumption of the random walk method is therefore



FIG. 2. Illustration of the random walk method: (a) Sketch of a 2D random walk on a square lattice (lattice sites not shown) starting at the lattice site labeled 0 and ending at the site labeled 50 where the numbers represent the time steps. At some selected lattice sites, all step numbers are displayed, i.e., "20,36" indicates that the walker passes at this site at time steps 20 and 36. The vector $\vec{r}(t = 50)$ of length r is indicated by the arrow. (b) The length $r(t) = \sqrt{r^2(t)}$ is shown for the walk of (a) as a function of t for the first 50 time steps. (c) $r^2(t)$ is shown as a function of t for the first 50 time steps as an average over 10, 10^2 , and 10^4 random walks (circles, squares, and triangles, respectively).

the equivalence between the so-called "transport diffusion coefficient" D_t and the "self- or tracer-diffusion coefficient" D_s . D_t connects the current density \vec{j} to the gradient of the particle density ∇c via $\vec{j} = -D_t \nabla c$, whereas D_s connects the mean square displacement $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ to the diffusion time t via

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = 2dD_s t, \tag{4}$$

with the Euclidian dimension d [cf. Fig. 2(c)]. The equivalence between D_s and D_t has sometimes been questioned but in the end always has been found valid for the case of noninteracting particles [31,32]. This means that $D_s = D_t \propto 1/\rho$, enabling us to calculate ρ from the diffusion coefficient D_s . In TiO₂ nanoparticle networks, however, an additional effect might come into play, namely, the dependence of the electron density on the chemical potential [30]. It has become common to introduce the "chemical diffusion coefficient" D^* that differs from D_t by the "thermodynamic factor" that accounts for deviations in the electron density from Boltzmann statistics [30]. As the Boltzmann statistics is inherent to Eq. (2), we rely on D_s from Eq. (4).

Similar to Ref. [8], the lattice points are occupied by spherical grains (with probability p), or are left empty (with probability 1 - p), where $p \ge 0.8$ in the following. Schematics of these networks are shown in Fig. 3. The diameters D_i of the individual grains *i* are chosen randomly from a homogeneous distribution of width w = 0.6a around a mean diameter $\langle D \rangle$ so that neighboring spheres can overlap,



FIG. 3. Two-dimensional sketches of networks of (a) n grains (gray core with a white depletion shell), (b) p grains (gray core with a dark gray enrichment shell), and (c) heterogeneous networks of both types of grains.



FIG. 4. Schematized models of overlapping grains with a geometrical neck of diameter Δ_0 between them: (a) *nn* bond, (b) *pp* bond, and (c) *np* bond.

thereby forming connections in the shape of necks with a circular cross section of (geometrical) diameter Δ_0 between them (see Fig. 4). The mean values of grain and neck diameters are related via the sintering parameter Θ as $\langle \Delta_0 \rangle \simeq \Theta \langle D \rangle$ that is chosen as $\Theta = 1/\sqrt{2}$, close to experimentally known values [2]. With this value of Θ , next-nearest neighbors of a monosized lattice would not overlap but just touch each other.

The grains are randomly divided into *n* grains with probability $p_n \in \{1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, 0\}$ and *p* grains with probability $p_p = 1 - p_n$, which corresponds to the values of Ref. [12] where mass ratios of *n*-to-*p* grains of 1:0, 3:1, 1:1, 1:3, and 0:1 have been considered. Assuming a constant number of charge carriers and a constant mobility through the grains, we find $R(N_r)/R(0)$ from the inverse ratio of the diffusion constants $D_s(0)/D_s(N_r)$ that are computed with an average over 10^5 systems. For this first analysis, differences between hole and electron mobilities are neglected as well as the different sizes of the *n* and *p* grains from the experiments of Ref. [12].

B. Calculation of the jump probabilities

Before performing random walk simulations, we have to define the jump probabilities p_{ij} proportional to the conductances along the bonds, i.e., for nn, pp, and np connections between the grains (which we address as $p_{i,j}^{nn}$, $p_{i,j}^{pp}$, and $p_{i,j}^{np}$, respectively). Figure 4 shows how the bonds between the grains are modeled microscopically by following the lines of Refs. [8, 14]. In the case of two *n* grains—normally of unequal sizes-current can only flow through the conducting core-core overlap (channel) with the reduced diameter $\Delta_{nn} =$ $\Delta_0 - 2\lambda_n(N_r)$. The jump probability $p_{i,j}^{nn}$ therefore scales with the density N_D of the charge carriers and the area $\Delta_{nn}^2 \pi/4$, leading to $p_{i,j}^{nn} = C_n \Delta_{nn}^2 \pi N_D/4$. The prefactor C_n is introduced for normalization, i.e., in order to keep the sum of the jump probabilities of a given site for all its neighbors well below unity. It is the same for all connections and independent of N_r , i.e., it cancels out for $R(N_r)/R(0)$. The current between neighboring p grains flows over two parallel paths [14]: one through the cores (with a probability that scales with N_A and the area $A_{\rm ch} = \Delta_{pp}^2 \pi/4$ of the channel) and one over the shells [scaling with N_A^{μ} and the area of the shell-shell overlap $A_{\rm sh} = (\Delta_0^2 - \Delta_{pp}^2)\pi/4$, where $\Delta_{pp} = \Delta_0 - 2\lambda_p(N_r)$]. The jump probability between the p grains is the sum of both probabilities, i.e., $p_{i,j}^{pp} = C_n (A_{ch} N_A + A_{sh} N_A^+)$. In Ref. [12], the *np* bonds have been assumed as cut because of the high resistance of reverse-biased np contacts. However, as rectifier properties disappear at very small voltages [33], I consider the np bonds as conducting and model their jump probabilities in a simplified way as proportional to the overlap area with diameter Δ_{np} between the *n* core and the total *p* grain and to N_D , i.e., $p_{i,j}^{np} = C_n \Delta_{np}^2 \pi N_D / 4$. Here, the shell of the *n* grains is again considered insulating, whereas the total p grain is considered conducting so that in most cases the n core is the limiting quantity of these bonds (except in some cases where the total p grains are smaller than the core of the n grain). To test the influence of the np bonds, the results are in some cases compared to the case where all np bonds are cut.

IV. RESULTS

We now discuss the results gained for not too high gas concentrations N_r , i.e., the simulations are stopped when the thickness $\lambda_n(N_r)$ of the depletion layer of the *n* grains becomes 0. For still higher gas concentrations, λ_n would no longer play a role for controlling the sensor response, and *R* would simply increase with N_r , thereby only reflecting the response of the *p* grains to the gas.

In all figures, the symbols and colors symbolize the different values of p_n , i.e., they stand for systems (from bottom to top) of only *n* grains, a 1:3 ratio of *p*:*n*, a 1:1 ratio of *p*:*n*, a 3:1 ratio of p:n, and only p grains. For the n grains, I refer to the parameters of Refs. [19,20] that have also been used in Refs. [2,8], and for the *p* grains, I test three parameter sets, close to the ones reported in Ref. [16] (see above) covering the situations $\lambda_p(0) > \lambda_n(0)$, $\lambda_p(0) \approx \lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_p(0) < \lambda_n(0)$. For clarity, $\lambda_n(N_r)$ and $\lambda_p(N_r)$ are shown in the corresponding inset of each figure. In the simulations, I tested many values for $\langle D \rangle \in [50,200 \text{ nm}], p \in [0.6,1]$, as well as variations in N_z , N_D , and N_A . I found that R(0) depends on the specific parameter choice, but the normalized curves $R(N_r)/R(0)$ are qualitatively very similar for all parameters (except for smaller values of p where infinite percolation clusters do not exist in every system). The results shown here should therefore be understood as typical examples.

A. Unity reaction factors

Figures 5 and 6 show $R(N_r)/R(0)$ as a function of N_r for systems of mean grain diameters $\langle D \rangle = 100$ and $\langle D \rangle =$ 200 nm, respectively. For this first test, the reaction factors are set to $\alpha_n = \alpha_p = 1$, i.e., each gas molecule at the (*n*- or *p*-) grain surfaces is considered to react with one oxygen ion. The three columns show the different parameter sets for the p grains, whereas the n grains are always the same. For the simulations shown in the top rows (a), (c), and (e), the np bonds have also been considered conducting, whereas they have been considered as cut in (b), (d), and (f) (bottom rows). In the first case, in agreement with the experimental data from Ref. [12], all curves are separated well from each other. In the second case, the two lowest curves $p_n \ge 0.75$ (black circles and red squares) of each figure as well as the two top curves $p_n \leq 0.25$ (blue triangles up and cyan triangles down) fall together. With a critical percolation threshold of $p_c \approx 0.3$ it is clear that only the *n* paths percolate for $p_n \ge 0.75$, whereas only the p paths percolate for $p_n \leq 0.25$. Without np bonds, both paths decouple, and $R(N_r)/R(0)$ reflects only the change in the dominant grain type with the gas, whereas the behavior of the nonpercolating grain type plays no role. Otherwise speaking, p_n is unimportant, and the curves of each type fall together. A third class of curves (green diamonds) is formed by the systems with $p_n = 0.5$ where both paths percolate simultaneously and $R(N_r)/R(0)$ shows an intermediate behavior. However, the



FIG. 5. (Color online) Under the reaction factors $\alpha_n = \alpha_p = 1$, $R(N_r)/R(0)$ is plotted versus N_r for $N_z = 9$, $\langle D \rangle = 100$ nm, T = 500 K, p = 0.8, and $\epsilon = 8$. For *n* grains we have $N_D = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ nm⁻³ and $\lambda_n(0) = 10$ nm, whereas for *p* grains, we chose $qV_s = 0.3$ eV and different values of N_A : (a) and (b) $N_A = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ nm⁻³, (c) and (d) $N_A = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$ nm⁻³, and (e) and (f) $N_A = 8 \times 10^{-4}$ nm⁻³ referring to $\lambda_p(0) > \lambda_n(0)$, $\lambda_p(0) = \lambda_n(0)$, and $\lambda_p(0) < \lambda_n(0)$, respectively. The different colors and symbols indicate (from bottom to top) $p_n = 1$, i.e., only *n* sites (black circles), $p_n = 0.75$ (red squares), $p_n = 0.5$ (green diamonds), $p_n = 0.25$ (blue triangles up), and $p_n = 0$, i.e., only *p* sites (cyan triangles down). Whereas in the top row (a), (c), and (e), the *np* bonds have been included into the calculations, they have been set to zero in the bottom row (b), (d), and (f). The insets (g)–(i) show the corresponding values for $\lambda_n(N_r)$ (black +) and $\lambda_p(N_r)$ (red stars).

range of p_n values with percolating *n* and *p* paths is quite small, given a total occupation probability of 0.8. To summarize, without including the *np* bonds into the simulations, the experimental results that show individual characteristic curves for each system cannot be found and only three groups of curves appear instead.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Under the reaction factors $\alpha_n = \alpha_p = 1$, $R(N_r)/R(0)$ is plotted versus N_r for $\langle D \rangle = 200$ nm. All other parameters are the same as in Fig. 5 as well as the meaning of the different colors, symbols, and insets (g)–(i).



FIG. 7. Some data of Fig. 5 of Ref. [12] are redrawn: (a) R/R_0 under the influence of CH₄ for five films of anatase-rutile (*n*-*p*) composites with different mass ratios *n*-anatase: *p*-rutile: 1:0 (circles), 3:1 (boxes), 1:1 (diamonds), 1:3 (triangles up), and 0:1 (triangles down). (b) R/R_0 for the sample with the 1:3 ratio of *n*-anatase: *p*-rutile under the influence of CH₄ [open triangles up, see also (a)] and CO (filled triangles up). One can see that R/R_0 stays (nearly) constant under CH₄, whereas it decreases considerably under CO.

B. Reactions to different gases

Before discussing the numerical results for different reaction factors, we first take a look at some of the experimental data from Ref. [12] that are redrawn in Fig. 7. Figure 7(a) shows five samples with different mass relations of *n*-anatase to *p*-rutile under the influence of one specific reducing gas (CH₄). One can see that—depending on the n-to-p ratio of the sample—the relative resistance R/R_0 may increase or decrease in complete analogy to the numerical data of Figs. 5 and 6. (The succession of the data with the 3:1 and the 1:1 ratios of *n*-anatase: *p*-rutile is interchanged as compared to the expectations, which is commented on in Ref. [12].) In Fig. 7(b), R/R_0 for one of these samples (second from above) is shown again, but this time it is compared to the reaction of the same sample to a second reducing gas (CO). One can easily recognize that R/R_0 stays (nearly) constant when exposed to CH₄, whereas it decreases drastically when exposed to CO.



FIG. 8. (Color online) $R(N_r)/R(0)$ is plotted versus N_r for different reaction factors (a) $\alpha_n = 0.75$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (b) $\alpha_n = 0.5$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (c) $\alpha_n = 0.3$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (d) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.75$, (e) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.5$, and (f) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.3$. All other parameters are the same as in Fig. 5(a) as well as the meaning of the different colors, symbols, and of the insets (g)–(1), i.e., the data represent the material of Fig. 5(a) under the influence of different gases.



FIG. 9. (Color online) $R(N_r)/R(0)$ is plotted versus N_r for different reaction factors (a) $\alpha_n = 0.75$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (b) $\alpha_n = 0.5$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (c) $\alpha_n = 0.3$, $\alpha_p = 1$, (d) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.75$, (e) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.5$, and (f) $\alpha_n = 1$, $\alpha_p = 0.3$. All other parameters are the same as in Fig. 5(c) as well as the meaning of the different colors, symbols, and of the insets (g)–(1).

Figures 8–10 show the results of the corresponding simulations, and one can see that all figures resemble strongly the experimental data from Fig. 7(a). In the different subfigures (a)–(f), different pairs of reaction factors (α_n, α_p) have been used that simulate the reactions to different gases. The np bonds are now always considered conducting. The results of Figs. 8 and 9 are attained with an occupation probability of p = 0.8 of the sites and the material parameters of Figs. 5(a) and 5(c), respectively, whereas in Fig. 10 we have p = 1 and the parameters of Fig. 5(c). To compare the reactions of the same system to different gases, we must compare curves of the same symbols (e.g., black circles for a pure *n* system) in the subfigures (a)–(f). We find selective behavior for the (blue) curves with $p_n = 0.25$ and the middle (green) curves with $p_n = 0.5$ that are sometimes increasing and sometimes



FIG. 10. (Color online) $R(N_r)/R(0)$ is plotted versus N_r for the occupation probability p = 1. All other parameters and the reaction factors are the same as in Fig. 9.

decreasing, depending on the gas. The qualitative agreement with the experimental curves of Ref. [12] is very good: The curves of systems with a contribution of 75% or more ngrains (two bottom curves of Figs. 8-10) always decrease with N_r , whereas curves of systems with only p grains always increase. Between both cases, decrease or increase is possible with a certain preference for decrease. The n grains where the insulating shell is able to block the conducting channel between neighboring grains are probably more important to the specific shape of the curves than the p grains that are always conducting. This different role of the two grain types is also reflected in two more details of the results: First, even if $\lambda_p(N_r)$ behaves quite differently in Figs. 8 and 9 (see insets, red stars), the curves of $R(N_r)/R(0)$ look very similar. This probably shows that the n grains that are always identical have a higher influence on the results. A similar conclusion can be drawn when comparing the curves of the top rows (a)-(c)where the values of α_n are changed (and α_p is kept constant) to the curves of the bottom row (d)–(f) where α_n is kept constant. In the first case, the curves are more volatile than in the second case, which probably also comes from the higher influence of the n grains on the results.

In real systems of sintered grains, the geometrical distribution of the grains may be more correlated than in this paper where the particles have been distributed randomly over the lattices. Therefore, Fig. 10, where all sites are occupied, i.e., p = 1, has been included to estimate the influence of the specific geometrical structure of the systems. Whereas the systems of Figs. 8 and 9 have voids, the results of Fig. 10 have been gained on compact systems. Even if R(0) of both figures differs by a factor of roughly 2, the values of $R(N_r)/R(0)$ are practically identical, indicating that the geometrical structure of the system also is not important for the considered question.

To conclude the basic finding of this paper, I present the results in Fig. 11 in a similar way as in Fig. 7, i.e., I show one specific system in reaction to different gases (reaction factors). Figure 11(a) shows the system of Fig. 9 with $p_n = 0.25$ (blue triangles up) and (α_n, α_p) equal to (0.3, 1), (0.75, 1), and (1, 0.3), and we see that the curve may increase or decrease, depending on the gas. Figure 11(b) shows the system of Fig. 9 with $p_n = 0.5$ (green diamonds) for the two values of (α_n, α_p) equal to (0.3, 1) and (1, 0.3). One can see that this figure is very similar to Fig. 7(b), i.e., to the experimental curves.



FIG. 11. (Color online) Some numerical data from Fig. 9 are shown for comparison with the experimental data from Fig. 7. The same system (the same value of p_n) may show an increase, a decrease, or (nearly) constant behavior of *R* with N_r . From top to bottom: (a) Curves for $p_n = 0.25$ from Fig. 9(c) (open triangles up), from Fig. 9(a) (small triangles up) and from Fig. 9(f) (big filled triangles up). (b) Curves for $p_n = 0.5$ from Figs. 9(c) and 9(f).

V. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND OUTLOOK

To summarize, I have developed a simple model to describe the resistance change in nanocrystalline composite systems of sintered n and p grains in response to different reducing gases. The systems are mapped onto discrete lattices where the different grains are simulated by overlapping spheres and the bonds between them are estimated from the conducting overlap (channel).

This paper concentrates on the question of whether percolation effects are able to explain the experimentally observed selective behavior towards different gases. It turned out that, despite several simplifications, the overall agreement with former experimental results is very good and the percolation model can explain in which way a system can distinguish between different gases, namely, by the development of percolating pathways of n and p grains that react in different ways to the gas. The observed selective behavior of the systems can be attributed to the different responses of the space charge layers (shells) of the *n* and the *p* grains that can in principle be adjusted by the proper choice of the semiconducting materials and by an appropriate doping. In this context, it is of special interest that the np bonds are necessary to reproduce the experimental curves. As the np bonds provide the link between n and p paths, the neglect of them leads to a decoupling of both paths, leading to a less selective picture.

Clearly, several simplifications have been applied in order to keep the numerical effort feasible: First, the gas concentration N_r used in this paper is a surface concentration, whereas in the experimental curve of Ref. [12], volume gas densities have been applied. The surface gas density normally depends on the volume density in a nonlinear way, which influences the shape of the curves. Second, the systems have been discretized on a lattice, a procedure that has long been under discussion and it is not clear which kinds of mixing rules are best suited for the present problem. The discretization, therefore, is a

further simplification with the main aim to keep the parameters simple and clear. For the same reason, the systems also have been standardized: Instead of the different experimental shapes and sizes for the n and the p grains, all grains have been assumed as spherical with the same medium size of n and pgrains. Conductances over next-nearest neighbors have been neglected, whereas in reality a multitude of paths between adjacent grains (including paths over edges and corners) may come into play. The conductances over the bonds have been estimated in a simplified way without including, e.g., different mobilities of electrons and holes.

Therefore, I have estimated the influence of these various factors on the global qualitative behavior by testing a lot of different values for particle sizes, occupation probabilities, width of the disorder, and others. As shown in the figures, these differences are only important for the value of R(0) but play nearly no role for the change in R with N_r . Therefore, the basic challenge to find out by which mechanisms percolation effects can lead to a selective behavior of a gas sensor composed of n and p grains has been answered by introducing the appropriate reaction factors α_n and α_p that describe the number of gas molecules that react with the adsorbed oxygen. This mechanism is quite simple; the results are extremely stable against all kinds of parameter changes other than either the strengths of the *np* bonds or the α_n and α_p themselves. Future work on more realistic continuous systems is of course highly desirable in order to optimize the performance of the sensors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project No. RU 854/2-1) and valuable discussions with C.-D. Kohl, J. Hennemann, and T. Sauerwald. C.-D. Kohl has given much insightful advice on the physics of surfaces and of gas sensing.

- Fractals and Disordered Systems, 2nd ed., edited by A. Bunde and S. Havlin (Springer, Berlin, 1996); D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory*, 2nd ed. (Taylor and Francis, London, 1994).
- M. Ulrich, C.-D. Kohl, and A. Bunde, Thin Solid Films 391, 299 (2001); M. Ulrich, A. Bunde, and C.-D. Kohl, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 242 (2004).
- [3] G. Heiland, Sens. Actuators 2, 343 (1982).
- [4] C.-D. Kohl, J. Phys. D 34, R125 (2001).
- [5] S. R. Morrison, Sens. Actuators B 12, 425 (1987).
- [6] M. Tiemann, Chem. Eur. J. 13, 8376 (2007).
- [7] C. O. Park and S. Akbar, J. Mater. Sci. 38, 4611 (2003).
- [8] J. Dräger, S. Russ, T. Sauerwald, C.-D. Kohl, and A. Bunde, J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 223701 (2013).
- [9] T. Sauerwald and S. Russ in *Gas Sensing Fundamentals*, edited by C.-D. Kohl and T. Wagner, Springer Series on Chemical Sensors and Biosensors (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2013).
- [10] G. G. Mandayo, E. Castano, F. J. Gracia, A. Cirera, A. Cornet, and J. R. Morante, Sens. Actuators B 95, 90 (2003).

- [11] A. Heilig, N. Barsan, U. Weimar, M. Schweizer-Berberich, J. W. Gardner, and W. Göpel, Sens. Actuators B 43, 45 (1997); A. Heilig, N. Barsan, U. Weimar, and W. Göpel, *ibid.* 58, 302 (1999).
- [12] N. O. Savage, B. Chwieroth, A. Ginwalla, B. R. Patton, S. A. Akbar, and P. K. Dutta, Sens. Actuators B **79**, 17 (2001); N. O. Savage, S. A. Akbar, and P. K. Dutta, *ibid.* **72**, 239 (2001).
- [13] D. H. Yoon, J. H. Yu, and G. M. Choi, Sens. Actuators B 46, 15 (1998); S.- T. Jun and G. M. Choi, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 81, 695 (1998); W. J. Moon, J. H. Yu, and G. M. Choi, Sens. Actuators B 80, 21 (2001).
- [14] J.-W. Yoon, J.-K. Choi, and J.-H. Lee, Sens. Actuators B 161, 570 (2012).
- [15] S. R. Morrison, *The Chemical Physics of Surfaces* (Plenum, New York, 1977), Sec. 2.2.
- [16] N. Barsan, C. Simion, T. Heine, S. Pokhrei, and U. Weimar, J. Electroceram. 25, 11 (2010).
- [17] C.-D. Kohl, Sens. Actuators 18, 71 (1989).
- [18] V. V. Sysoev, T. Schneider, J. Goschnick, I. Kiselev, W. Habicht, H. Hahn, E. Strelcov, and A. Kolmakov, Sens. Actuators B 139,

699 (2009); A. Ofir, S. Dor, L. Grinis, A. Zaban, T. Dittrich, and J. Bisquert, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 064703 (2008).

- [19] X. Wang, S. S. Yee, and W. P. Carey, Sens. Actuators 24-25, 454 (1995).
- [20] C. Xu, J. Tamaki, N. Miura, and N. Yamazoe, Sens. Actuators B 3, 147 (1991).
- [21] L. Berlyand and K. Golden, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 2114 (1994).
- [22] S. Feng, B. I. Halperin, and P. N. Sen, Phys. Rev. B 35, 197 (1987); P. Sheng and R. V. Kohn, *ibid.* 26, 1331 (1982).
- [23] Y. Chen and C. A. Schuh, Phys. Rev. E 80, 040103(R) (2009).
- [24] A. Sihvola, Photonics and Nanostructures 11, 364 (2013);
 L. Jylhä and A. Sihvola, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 13, 760 (2006).
- [25] J. Helsing, J. Comput. Phys. 230, 1171 (2011); 230, 7533 (2011).
- [26] F. Willot, L. Gillibert, and D. Jeulin, Int. J. Solids Struct. 50, 1699 (2013).

- [27] B. Sapoval, S. Russ, and J.-N. Chazalviel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, 6235 (1996).
- [28] Y. Gefen and I. Goldhirsch, Phys. Rev. B 35, 8639 (1987).
- [29] D. ben-Avraham and S. Havlin, *Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004).
- [30] J. Bisquert, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10, 3175 (2008); J. van de Lagemaat, N. Kopidakis, N. R. Neale, and A. J. Frank, Phys. Rev. B 71, 035304 (2005); R. Gomer, Rep. Prog. Phys. 53, 917 (1990).
- [31] S. Russ, S. Zschiegner, A. Bunde, and J. Kärger, Phys. Rev. E 72, 030101(R) (2005); S. Zschiegner, S. Russ, R. Valiullin, M.-O. Coppens, A.-J. Dammers, A. Bunde, and J. Kärger, Eur. Phys. J. 161, 109 (2008).
- [32] F. Comets, S. Popov, G. M. Schütz, and M. Vachkovskaia, J. Stat. Phys. 140, 948 (2010).
- [33] C.-D. Kohl (private communication).