# Problem Set 5 Quantum Field Theory and Many Body Physics (SoSe2018)

### Due: Thursday, May 24, 2018 before the beginning of the class

In this problem set, we study various examples and aspects of linear response. We first consider a very simple linear response problem for the quantum harmonic oscillator. Then, we prove important general properties of response functions, including the Kramers-Kronig relations which are a direct consequence of causality and the associated analytic properties of the response function in the complex frequency plane. Finally, we consider the polarization operator, a very important response function in the theory of metals. We first compute it for free electrons and subsequently consider interacting electrons in the random-phase approximation.

## **Problem 1: Polarizability of a harmonic oscillator** (5+5+5+5+5)

In this problem, we consider a very simple example for a response function, namely the polarizability  $\chi$  of a charge *e* bound in a harmonic oscillator potential. The polarizability is defined through

$$d = \chi \mathcal{E},\tag{1}$$

where d is the dipole moment, d = ex, and  $\mathcal{E}$  the applied electric field. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x^2 - ex\mathcal{E}.$$
 (2)

(a) Use first order perturbation theory in a time-independent electric field  $\mathcal{E}$  for the eigenstate  $|\psi_n\rangle$  of H to derive the static polarizability  $\chi$ . Specifically, according to first-order perturbation theory,

$$|\psi_n\rangle = |n\rangle + \sum_{m \neq n} |m\rangle \frac{\langle m| - e\mathcal{E}x|n\rangle}{E_n - E_m},\tag{3}$$

where  $|n\rangle$  denotes the eigenstates of the unperturbed harmonic oscillator ( $\mathcal{E} = 0$ ) with eigenenergies  $E_n = \hbar \omega_0 (n + \frac{1}{2})$ . Use this expression to compute the thermal expectation value

$$d = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z} \langle \psi_n | ex | \psi_n \rangle \tag{4}$$

to linear order in the applied field  $\mathcal{E}$ . (Here, the partition function is  $Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_n}$ .) You may find it useful that x couples only neighboring harmonic oscillator eigenstates with matrix elements (prove!)

$$\langle n+1|x|n\rangle = \frac{\ell_{\rm osc}}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n+1} \tag{5}$$

$$\langle n-1|x|n\rangle = \frac{\ell_{\rm osc}}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n} \tag{6}$$

in terms of the oscillator length  $\ell_{\text{osc}}^2 = \hbar/m\omega_0$ . Eventually, you can perform the sum over n in the expression for d and find  $\chi = \frac{e^2}{m\omega_0^2}$ .

(b) Now define a dynamic polarizability as the response to a time-dependent electric field  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  through

$$d(t) = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} dt' \,\chi(t,t') \mathcal{E}(t').$$
(7)

Use the general Kubo formula derived in class to obtain the Kubo formula

$$\chi(t,t') = \frac{ie^2}{\hbar} \theta(t-t') \langle [x(t), x(t')] \rangle$$
(8)

for the polarizability. Set up and solve the Heisenberg equation of motion for x(t) (for the unperturbed harmonic oscillator!) to find

$$x(t) = x\cos\omega_0 t + \frac{p}{m\omega_0}\sin\omega_0 t.$$
(9)

Use this to evaluate the correlation function explicitly and find

$$\chi(t,t') = \frac{e^2}{m\omega_0} \theta(t-t') \sin(\omega_0(t-t')).$$
(10)

(c) Fourier transform  $\chi(t, t')$  to the time domain and show that

$$\chi(\omega) = \frac{e^2/m}{\omega_0^2 - (\omega + i\eta)^2},\tag{11}$$

where  $\eta$  denotes a positive infinitesimal. Explain how this is related to the result in (a).

(d) Compute the thermal Green's function

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau, \tau') = \langle \mathcal{T}_{\tau} x(\tau) x(\tau') \rangle, \tag{12}$$

from the path integral for  $\mathcal{E} = 0$ , giving explicit results in the (Matsubara) frequency domain. Show that the retarded polarizability can be obtained from  $\mathcal{G}(i\Omega)$  by analytical continuation.

(e) Compute the corresponding spectral function  $\rho(\omega)$ .

# **Problem 2: General properties of response functions** (5+5+5+5+5) In this problem we want to discuss some important general properties of response functions D(t,t') in frequency representation. Consider a retarded Green's functions for bosonic operators, $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ with Lehmann representation

$$D(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m,n} (e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}) \frac{\langle m | \hat{A} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{B} | m \rangle}{\omega - (E_n - E_m) + i\eta},$$
(13)

and spectral representation

$$D(\omega) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\rho(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + i\eta}$$
(14)

in terms of the spectral function

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n,m} (e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}) \langle m | \hat{A} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{B} | m \rangle 2\pi \delta(E_n - E_m - \omega).$$
(15)

(a) Use the Lehmann representation to show that

$$[D_{AB}(\omega)]^* = D_{A^{\dagger}B^{\dagger}}(-\omega) \tag{16}$$

Specifically, this implies for hermitian operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  that

$$\operatorname{Re}D(\omega) = \operatorname{Re}D(-\omega),$$
 (17)

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{D}(\omega) = -\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{D}(-\omega), \tag{18}$$



Figure 1: Integration contour

i.e.,  $\operatorname{Re}D(\omega)$  is even in  $\omega$ , Im  $D(\omega)$  is odd.

(b) Show that the spectral function is real for the important case  $\hat{B} = \hat{A}^{\dagger}$  (with  $\hat{A}$  not necessarily a Hermitian operator).

(c) Prove the useful and frequently used identity (for infinitesimal  $\eta > 0$ )

$$\frac{1}{x+i\eta} = \mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{x}) - i\pi\delta(x),\tag{19}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the principal value and  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac  $\delta$ -function. [Hint: The principal value can be represented as

$$\mathcal{P}\int dx \,\frac{f(x)}{x} = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \int dx \,f(x) \frac{x}{x^2 + \eta^2},\tag{20}$$

and

$$\frac{\eta}{x^2 + \eta^2} = \pi \delta(x) \tag{21}$$

is a representation of the Dirac  $\delta$ -dunction (why?).]

(d) Use the identity in (c) to show that for  $\hat{B} = \hat{A}^{\dagger}$ 

Im 
$$D(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\rho(\omega),$$
 (22)

and thus

$$D(\omega) = -\int \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}D(\omega)}{\omega - \omega' + i\eta}.$$
(23)

(e) Finally, we want to discuss a general relation between the real and imaginary parts of the response function  $D(\omega)$  which is a direct consequence of causality and referred to as Kramers-Kronig relation. The Lehmann representation implies that  $D(\omega)$  is an analytic function in the upper half of the complex  $\omega$ -plane. Explain why

$$\int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{D(z)}{z - \omega + i\eta} = 0,$$
(24)

with the contour C specified in Fig. 1. Using that the integral over the semicircle vanishes when pushed to infinity, derive the Kramers-Kronig relation

$$D(\omega) = i\mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{D(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}.$$
(25)

Specifically, this relation implies that

$$\operatorname{Re}D(\omega) = -\mathcal{P}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im}D(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'},$$
(26)

$$\operatorname{Im} D(\omega) = \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Re} D(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}.$$
(27)

Check that these relations work out for the response function in Eq. (11) above.

#### Problem 3: Polarization operator

A very important response function describes the density response of a Fermi gas (e.g., non-interacting electrons) with chemical potential  $\mu$  to an applied electric potential  $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = -e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' dt' \,\Pi_0(\mathbf{r}t,\mathbf{r}'t')\phi(r',t').$$
<sup>(28)</sup>

Since the system is translationally invariant in both space and time, we have

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -e^2 \Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega) \phi(\mathbf{q},\omega).$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

 $\Pi_0$  is often referred to as the polarization operator. It can be computed by applying the Lehmann representation to a single electron system with occupation probability

$$n_k = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon_k - \mu)} + 1} \tag{30}$$

(10+5+10 points)

of the momentum states  $|k\rangle$ . The charge density is

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = e\delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}),\tag{31}$$

and the electric potential couples to the electrons through

$$H_{int} = e\phi(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \,\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r}). \tag{32}$$

Correspondingly, we obtain in Fourier representation  $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}}$  and  $H_{int} = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{q}\hat{\rho}(-\mathbf{q})\phi(\mathbf{q})$ . Using this in the Lehmann representation for the Kubo formula for  $\Pi_0$ , we find (with  $\epsilon_k = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$ )

$$\Pi_{0}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -\frac{1}{e^{2}} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} (n_{\mathbf{k}} - n_{\mathbf{k}'}) \frac{\langle \mathbf{k} | \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}' | \hat{\rho}(-\mathbf{q}) | \mathbf{k} \rangle}{\omega + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} + i\eta}.$$
(33)

Please make sure that you understand the logic leading to this expression.

(a) Evaluate the matrix elements  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) | \mathbf{k}' \rangle$  and show that

$$\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{n_{\mathbf{k}} - n_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}}{\omega + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}} + i\eta}$$
(34)

(b) Evaluate both  $\operatorname{Re}\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega)$  explicitly in 3d by performing the integration over  $\mathbf{k}$   $(\frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3})$ . [Hint: See, e.g. the book by Fetter and Walecka, p158ff, if you need help.]

(c) Imagine now a Fermi system of charged particles, e.g. electrons. Let us also assume that the average electronic charge density is compensated by a uniform and rigid background of opposite charge (representing the ion cores in a solid). Then, the change in the electronic charge density  $\delta\rho(\mathbf{r}t)$  is itself producing an induced electric potential,  $\phi_{ind}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,

$$\phi_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \, \frac{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}',t)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}.$$
(35)

The actual induced charge density is thus a response to the total potential

$$\phi_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) + \phi_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad (36)$$

which is the sum of the external potential  $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and the induced potential. Thus,

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' dt' \,\Pi_0(\mathbf{r}t,\mathbf{r}'t') \left(\phi(\mathbf{r}',t') + \phi_{\rm ind}(\mathbf{r}',t')\right). \tag{37}$$

Note that this is an approximation (called RPA or random phase approximation for historical reasons) in that we neglect the effects of the Coulomb interaction on  $\Pi_0$ . Show that this equation can be Fourier transformed to give

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -e^2 \Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega) \left(\phi(\mathbf{q},\omega) + \phi_{\rm ind}(\mathbf{q},\omega)\right).$$
(38)

Moreover, show that

$$\phi_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{1}{e^2} v(\mathbf{q}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{q},\omega), \tag{39}$$

with  $v(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{4\pi e^2}{\mathbf{q}^2}$ . Defining a response function  $\Pi(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$  describing the density response of the interacting Fermi system to the externally applied potential  $\phi(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ , i.e.,

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -e^2\Pi(\mathbf{q},\omega)\phi(\mathbf{q},\omega),\tag{40}$$

show that

$$\Pi(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega)}{1+v(\mathbf{q})\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega)}.$$
(41)

Use this to find

$$\phi_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + v(\mathbf{q})\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega)}\phi(\mathbf{q},\omega).$$
(42)

Compute the total potential in real space within the Thomas-Fermi approximation ( $\Pi_0(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \nu_0$ , where  $\nu_0$  is the density of states at the Fermi energy) for a point charge *e* inserted into the system, i.e., for

$$\phi(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{4\pi e}{\mathbf{q}^2}.\tag{43}$$

Explain your result in physical terms (screening).